1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
3			
4	IN RE:	Case No: 20-10322 (CLB)	
5	THE DIOCESE OF BUF	FALO, N.Y.,	
6	Debtor.	Chapter 11	
7			
8	THE DIOCESE OF BUF	FALO, N.Y.,	
9	Plaintif	f, Adv. No: 20-01016	
10	v.		
11	JMH 100 DOE, ET AL	., Chapter 11	
12	Defendan	ts.	
13			
14		DEPOSITION	
15			
16			
17	WITNESS:	MELISSA POTZLER	
18	DATE:	Wednesday, November 15, 2023	
19	START TIME:	11:00 a.m.	
20	END TIME:	2:17 P.m.	
21	REMOTE LOCATION:	Remote Legal platform	
22	REPORTER:	Jaime Godinez, CER-1260	
23	JOB NO.:	21028	
24			
25			

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	BOND, SCHOENECK & KING
4	One Lincoln Center
5	Syracuse, New York 13202
6	By: BRENDAN SHEEHAN, ESQUIRE
7	By: CHARLES SULLIVAN, ESQUIRE
8	By: JUSTIN KRELL, ESQUIRE
9	bsheehan@bsk.com
10	Appearing for The Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y.
11	
12	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
13	10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 13th Floor
14	Los Angeles, California 90067
15	By: BRITTANY M. MICHAEL, ESQUIRE
16	By: IAIN A.W. NASATIR, ESQUIRE
17	By: ILAN SCHARF, ESQUIRE
18	bmichael@pszjlaw.com
19	Appearing for Official Committee of Unsecured
20	Creditors
21	
22	ALSO PRESENT:
23	Lisa Petras, Paralegal, Pachulski Stang
24	Sarah Schroeter, Notary Public
25	

1	INDEX OF TESTIMONY	
2		
3	EXAMINATION OF MELISSA POTZLER:	PAGE
4	By Ms. Michael	10
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2		(Available for download)	
3			
4	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
5	1	Declaration of Melissa Potzler	20
6	2	Objections and Responses to	98
7		Committee's Interrogatories	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	FEDERAL STIPULATIONS
2	
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and
4	between the attorneys for the respective parties that
5	the presence of the Referee be waived;
6	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all
7	objections, except as to form, are reserved until the
8	time of trial;
9	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that this
10	deposition may be utilized for all purposes as provided
11	by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
12	AND FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all
13	rights provided to all parties by the Federal Rules of
14	Civil Procedure shall not be deemed waived and the
15	appropriate sections of the Federal Rules of Civil
16	Procedure shall be controlling with respect thereto.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	FEDERAL REMOTE STIPULATIONS
2	
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the
4	attorneys of record for all parties to the above-
5	entitled action, that:
6	Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(4) of the Federal Rules
7	of Civil Procedure, this deposition will be conducted by
8	remote videoconference with the oath being administered
9	remotely and a court reporter creating an accurate
10	written record; that, if necessary, the parties agree
11	that each witness can be identified with picture
12	identification;
13	No attorney, nor any party or witness, shall
14	capture any still photographs, nor record, by video or
15	audio, any part of these deposition proceedings;
16	Each attorney agrees to instruct their witness
17	that there is to be no communication with anyone outside
18	of the identified and participating group, by chat,
19	text, email, or other means during the deposition;
20	There shall be no other person in the room
21	with the witness during their deposition;
22	Any phone or electronic device in the room
23	with a witness shall be identified and not read,
24	referred to, or otherwise used during the witness'
25	deposition, unless agreed to by all counsel on record.

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- THE REPORTER: Good morning. We are now
- 3 on the record. Today's date is November 15th, 2023, and
- 4 the time is approximately 11:00 a.m. Eastern. My name
- 5 is Jaime Godinez, and I'm the officer designated by
- 6 Remote Legal, 11 Broadway, Suite 456, New York, New
- 7 York, to take the record of this proceeding.
- 8 This is the deposition of Melissa
- 9 Potzler, taken in the matter of In Re: The Diocese of
- 10 Buffalo, New York, Case Number 20-10322, filed in the
- 11 United States Bankruptcy Court, Western District of New
- 12 York.
- Would all counsel please identify
- 14 themselves for the record, starting with the noticing
- 15 attorney, and state who they represent.
- 16 MS. MICHAEL: Hello. I am Brittany
- 17 Michael, from the law firm, Pachulski Stang Ziehl &
- Jones, and I represent the Committee of Unsecured
- 19 Creditors.
- 20 THE REPORTER: And Mr. Sheehan, can you
- 21 state your appearance.
- 22 MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. Good morning.
- 23 Brendan Sheehan of Bond, Schoeneck & King, on behalf of
- 24 The Diocese of Buffalo, and here with me is Charles
- 25 Sullivan.

- 1 THE REPORTER: Thank you. And would all
- 2 observers please identify themselves for the record.
- 3 MR. NASATIR: Iaian Nasatir, Pachulski
- 4 Stang, for the Committee.
- 5 THE REPORTER: And Ms. Michael, could you
- 6 please identify the other attorneys for the record,
- 7 please.
- 8 MS. MICHAEL: Yes. Ilan Scharf, also
- 9 Pachulski Stang, for the Committee. And Lisa Petrus,
- 10 who is a paralegal at Pachulski Stang, for the
- 11 Committee.
- 12 THE REPORTER: Thank you so much.
- 13 And Mr. Sheehan, could you please have
- 14 Mr. Sullivan's appearance stated on the record.
- MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Charles Sullivan,
- 16 Bond, Schoeneck & King, one of the attorneys for The
- 17 Diocese of Buffalo.
- 18 THE REPORTER: Thank you.
- 19 And will the notary please identify
- 20 herself for the record.
- THE NOTARY PUBLIC: Good morning. My
- 22 name is Sarah Schroeter, I'm a notary public for Remote
- 23 Legal.
- 24 THE REPORTER: Thank you.
- This deposition is being taken remotely

- 1 and is being conducted pursuant to the procedural rules
- 2 and laws of the state which governs this matter. As
- 3 such, all parties agree to this means of capturing the
- 4 official record, which may include recording by audio
- 5 and/or audiovisual means, and agree not to oppose
- 6 admission of this proceeding on the basis of the
- 7 personnel, or method by which the testimony in this
- 8 proceeding was captured.
- 9 Do the parties so stipulate?
- MS. MICHAEL: Yes, on behalf of the
- 11 Committee.
- 12 THE REPORTER: And Mr. Sheehan?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Yes.
- 14 THE REPORTER: Thank you.
- Would the notary please swear in the
- 16 witness.
- 17 THE NOTARY PUBLIC: Good morning, Ms.
- 18 Potzler.
- MS. POTZLER: Good morning.
- THE NOTARY PUBLIC: Would you please
- 21 state and spell your name for the record.
- 22 MS. POTZLER: Yes. It's Melissa Potzler,
- 23 M-E-L-I-S-S-A, P, as in Peter, O-T, as in Tom, Z, as in
- 24 zebra, L-E-R.
- THE NOTARY PUBLIC: Thank you. Ms.

- 1 Potzler, would you please raise your right hand. Do you
- 2 swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give
- 3 will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
- 4 truth?
- 5 MS. POTZLER: I do.
- 6 WHEREUPON,
- 7 MELISSA POTZLER
- 8 having been called as a witness, being duly sworn by the
- 9 notary public present, testified as follows:
- 10 THE NOTARY PUBLIC: Thank you.
- 11 THE REPORTER: Thank you so much.
- 12 Counsel, you may begin.
- MS. MICHAEL: Thank you.
- 14 EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 16 Q Good morning, Ms. Potzler.
- 17 A Good morning.
- 18 Q Have you ever been deposed before?
- 19 A I have.
- Q How many times?
- 21 A Just once.
- 22 Q Apologies. I think the audio cut out there.
- 23 Do you mind repeating that last answer?
- 24 A I've been deposed once before.
- MS. MICHAEL: Are others hearing her

- 1 response? I'm not getting any audio.
- THE REPORTER: This is the reporter. I'm
- 3 hearing her response.
- 4 MS. MICHAEL: I'm not hearing you,
- 5 either.
- 6 THE REPORTER: Okay. Just give me one
- 7 second. Let me go ahead and go off the record. The
- 8 time is now 11:04 a.m. Eastern, and we're off the
- 9 record.
- 10 (Off the record.)
- 11 THE REPORTER: The time is now 11:05 a.m.
- 12 Eastern, and we're back on the record.
- Mr. Krell, can you please state your
- 14 appearance on the record.
- MR. KRELL: Sure. Justin Krell, attorney
- 16 for Bond, Schoeneck & King.
- 17 THE REPORTER: Thank you so much.
- 18 Ms. Michael, you may proceed.
- 19 MS. MICHAEL: Thank you. Apologies for
- 20 that.
- 21 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 22 Q I believe the last question that I did not
- 23 hear the answer to was, how many times have you been
- 24 deposed?
- 25 A I've been deposed once.

- 1 Q Okay. And do you understand that you are
- 2 under oath?
- 3 A Yes, I do.
- 4 Q And do you understand that when you're under
- 5 oath your testimony is the same as if you were
- 6 testifying in court?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And because you are under oath, you have an
- 9 obligation to tell the truth. Do you understand that?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Everything we say here is on the record and
- 12 will appear in a written transcript. Have you seen a
- 13 deposition transcript before?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. A transcript will be provided to you,
- 16 and you will have an opportunity to review it, make
- 17 changes to your testimony, as recorded in the
- 18 transcript, before the expiration of the allotted time
- 19 for your review and signature. Do you understand that?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q If you make changes to your transcript, that
- 22 is something we may comment on at the hearing on this
- 23 matter. Do you understand that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And it's important, as we get going, that you

- 1 understand my questions. If you do not understand a
- 2 question, will you let me know?
- 3 A Yes, I will.
- 4 Q It is also important that we have a clear
- 5 transcript, so that we do not talk over each other. Do
- 6 you understand that?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Are you comfortable that you understand the
- 9 deposition process?
- 10 A Yes, I am.
- 11 Q And you are testifying in this matter as a
- 12 fact witness, not an expert witness, correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q All right. And where are you located?
- 15 A Currently, or where do I work?
- 16 Q Currently.
- 17 A I'm at the offices of Bond, Schoeneck & King
- 18 on Delaware Avenue in Buffalo, New York.
- 19 O And who is in the room with you?
- 20 A Brendan Sheehan and Charles Sullivan.
- 21 Q Is there anyone in the room that we cannot see
- 22 on the video screen?
- 23 A No, there is not.
- 24 Q And do you understand that you are not allowed
- 25 to contact anyone else about the subject of this

- 1 deposition after you have been sworn?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And do you understand that it is my position
- 4 that if you do have discussions with anyone else,
- 5 including your counsel, now that you've been sworn in,
- 6 I'm entitled to inquire about those communications?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And is there any reason you cannot testify
- 9 competently and truthfully today?
- 10 A No, there's not.
- 11 O Great. And what's your educational
- 12 background?
- 13 A I have a bachelor's degree, a JD degree, and
- 14 an LLM degree.
- Q And where do you have those degrees from?
- 16 A Bachelor's degree is from the University of
- 17 South Carolina. The JD and LLM are from the University
- 18 of Buffalo.
- 19 Q And how are you currently employed?
- 20 A I am the chancellor, chief compliance officer,
- 21 child protection policy coordinator, and in-house
- 22 counsel for The Diocese of Buffalo.
- 23 Q That's a lot of positions.
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And how long have you been in your current

- 1 role?
- 2 A About 11 months.
- 3 Q Okay. And did you hold any positions at The
- 4 Diocese of Buffalo prior to your current role?
- 5 A Not at the Diocese central offices. I did
- 6 work for a diocesan parish for 10 years.
- 7 Q And what parish is that?
- 8 A Nativity of our Lord in Orchard Park, New
- 9 York.
- 10 Q And what was your role with that parish?
- 11 A I was the parish life coordinator, so I
- 12 coordinated the volunteers, any events that we had. I
- 13 was responsible for social media, the bulletins, the
- 14 website.
- 15 Q And was that a paid or voluntary position?
- 16 A It was paid.
- 17 Q And have you ever been a party to a lawsuit
- 18 personally?
- 19 A I have been a party in an asbestos case.
- 20 Q And have you ever testified in court?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q And you said earlier that you've been deposed
- 23 once before. What was the subject matter of that
- 24 deposition?
- 25 A I was a witness in a personal injury car

- 1 accident.
- 2 Q And have you ever been subject to a
- 3 disciplinary proceeding?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Have you ever had a grievance filed against
- 6 you?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q And what did you review -- did you review any
- 9 materials to prepare for your deposition today?
- 10 A I reviewed some of the information from the
- 11 IRCP program. That's it, actually.
- 12 Q What materials from the IRCP program did you
- 13 review?
- 14 A There was a write-up of the whole program that
- 15 was meant to summarize and finalize everything that
- 16 occurred. So when I started at the Diocese they gave me
- 17 that, just so I would know what happened in the past.
- 18 Q And did you do anything else to prepare for
- 19 your deposition?
- 20 A I did speak with our attorneys, as a
- 21 deposition prep. That's it.
- 22 Q And going back, you said you worked, prior to
- 23 your employment with the Diocese, with a parish for 10
- 24 years?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q Was that the 10 years immediately preceding
- 2 your starting this job?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And have you had any other employment since --
- 5 A Yes, I -- I'm sorry.
- 6 Q Go ahead.
- 7 A Yeah. For the -- before the 10 years at the
- 8 parish, I worked for eight years as a defense attorney
- 9 with the 18B Panel. And I worked for the Erie County
- 10 District Attorney's office prior to that.
- 11 Q And how long did you work at the Erie County
- 12 District Attorney's office?
- 13 A One year exactly.
- Q And did you have any other jobs post-law
- 15 school, other than those that you've already stated?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q And prior to your employment with either the
- 18 parish or the Diocese, did you have any other
- 19 relationship with the Diocese?
- 20 A No, just as a faithful Catholic. Regular
- 21 parishioner, volunteer at my church.
- 22 Q All right. Now turning to the state court
- 23 actions against the Diocese, have you had any
- 24 experience, prior to the bankruptcy filing, working on
- 25 any of the Child Victim's Act which I'm going to refer

- 1 to as CVA going forward? Do you have any experience
- 2 working on the CVA cases prior to the bankruptcy?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Have you reviewed any of the pleadings in the
- 5 CVA cases?
- 6 A I have some of them, yes.
- 7 Q Okay. Which ones have you reviewed?
- 8 A The ones that were against my personal parish.
- 9 When I worked there I looked them up on E-file and
- 10 reviewed those. Not as a diocesan employee, as the
- 11 parish employee.
- 12 Q Have you reviewed any other CVA actions?
- 13 A I review them regularly in my current
- 14 position.
- 15 Q How many have you reviewed in your current
- 16 position?
- 17 A I wouldn't even hazard a guess, because it
- 18 just -- when something comes up, I'll go in and look.
- 19 So I would say maybe -- maybe 10 of them. The actual
- 20 pleadings, I mean, on E-file.
- 21 Q Right.
- 22 A Yeah.
- 23 Q Like the complaints?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And what about those 10 made you need to

- 1 review those?
- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent
- 3 that answering would call for any privileged
- 4 information, I'll instruct you not to answer. If you
- 5 can answer answer without divulging any privileged
- 6 information, you may do so.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Generally speaking, if a
- 8 question came up about a specific case, they know that
- 9 I'm the one that can get access to it, and know how to
- 10 get in there, that's when I would look it up. It would
- 11 either come up during the mediation, or concerning a
- 12 specific priest, or a complainant. That's what would
- 13 cause me to need to look it up.
- 14 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- Okay. Do you know how many CVA actions there
- 16 are currently in which the Diocese is a defendant?
- 17 A Approximately 891.
- 18 Q Apologies. Let me repeat my question. Do you
- 19 know how many CVA actions are currently pending in which
- 20 the Diocese is a named defendant?
- 21 A I do not know that. No.
- 22 O And do you know that of 891 CVA actions
- 23 against related entities, the Diocese is not a named
- 24 defendant in all of those actions?
- 25 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can

- 1 answer if you know.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 3 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 4 Q And have you reviewed any of the priest files
- 5 related to any of those CVA actions?
- 6 A Yes. Many, many of them.
- 7 Q All right. Have you reviewed priest files
- 8 related to all of the CVA actions?
- 9 A No, I cannot say all of them. No.
- 10 Q And are you generally familiar with the claims
- 11 that are asserted against parishes in those CVA actions?
- 12 A Generally, yes.
- 13 Q And against schools?
- 14 A Not so much with the schools.
- Q What about summer camps?
- 16 A No.
- 17 MS. MICHAEL: And now, turning to the
- 18 documents now. This is my first time using this
- 19 platform. It seems pretty -- kind of -- pretty
- 20 intuitive, but hopefully I do this right.
- 21 So I am now going to share and mark as Exhibit
- 22 1 Ms. Potzler's declaration.
- 23 (Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)
- 24 MS. MICHAEL: How do -- I'm missing the
- 25 button to mark it as Exhibit 1.

- 1 THE REPORTER: I went ahead and marked
- 2 that for you.
- MS. MICHAEL: Okay. Great. Thank you.
- 4 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 5 Q Okay. Ms. Potzler, can you describe the
- 6 process involved in preparing this declaration?
- 7 A We had legal discussions. I reviewed, like I
- 8 said, the IRCP program summary. And then I read over
- 9 the -- the declaration, and we made, you know, slight
- 10 modifications, and I signed it.
- 11 Q And who did you work with in preparing the
- 12 declaration?
- 13 A Our chief operating officer, Grayson Walter,
- 14 Charlie Sullivan, Steve Donato, Steve Donato, Brendan
- 15 Sheehan. Our chief financial officer, Ellen
- 16 Musialowski. I believe that was it.
- 17 Q And who provided you the information that's
- 18 contained in the declaration.
- 19 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. To the extent
- 20 that answering these questions could divulge attorney-
- 21 client privilege information, I instruct you not to
- 22 answer it. You can answer it to the extent that
- 23 answering will not do so.
- 24 THE WITNESS: The IRCP information I had
- 25 myself. The chief operating officer supplied some

- 1 information about personnel numbers. And the chief
- 2 financial officer supplied information about the self-
- 3 insured program.
- 4 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 5 Q Did someone else prepare an initial draft of
- 6 the declaration for you?
- 7 MR. SHEEHAN: We're going to assert the
- 8 same objection with respect to attorney-client
- 9 privilege. You can answer if doing so will not involve
- 10 attorney-client information. If doing so would --
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- MR. SHEEHAN: -- involve such --
- THE WITNESS: I can't answer --
- MR. SHEEHAN: -- information --
- THE WITNESS: -- that.
- 16 MR. SHEEHAN: -- then don't answer.
- 17 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 18 Q Okay. Do you plan on doing anything further
- 19 before the hearing on the Diocese motion for a
- 20 preliminary injunction, in terms of your expected
- 21 testimony?
- 22 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. It calls for
- 23 speculation. Again, to the extent answering would
- 24 divulge a prospective attorney-client privilege
- 25 information, I instruct you not to do so. You can

- 1 answer, if you can.
- THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that.
- 3 MS. MICHAEL: Okay. Turning to paragraph
- 4 7, and I can -- you should all be following me now; is
- 5 that correct? Do you all see paragraph 7, too?
- MR. SHEEHAN: We do not.
- 7 THE WITNESS: No.
- 8 MR. SHEEHAN: We do not. Oh, there we
- 9 qo. We do now.
- 10 THE WITNESS: It's currently cut off. I
- 11 can't see all of it.
- MR. SHEEHAN: The right margin and the
- 13 bottom of the paragraph are obliterated.
- 14 THE REPORTER: This is the reporter.
- 15 Everybody is able to zoom in and out of the document.
- 16 So if you want to zoom out, you'd be able to see the
- 17 paragraph, Mr. Sheehan.
- 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Thank you. Would it
- 19 be helpful --
- 20 THE WITNESS: No, I can see it now.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Okay.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 23 MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. We can now see
- 24 paragraph 7. Thank you.
- 25 BY MS. MICHAEL:

- 1 Q Paragraph 7, you discuss staffing reductions
- 2 The Diocese of Buffalo has undergone. What precipitated
- 3 the staffing reductions?
- 4 A The filing of the Chapter 11. And dwindling
- 5 resources.
- 6 O And I believe the statement states that the
- 7 reductions began in 2019. Is it correct that those
- 8 reductions began before the bankruptcy filing?
- 9 A True. Uh-huh. That's why I said dwindling
- 10 resource.
- 11 Q When did the planning process for those
- 12 staffing reductions begin?
- 13 A I don't know. I wasn't privy to that. I
- 14 wasn't here then.
- 15 Q And were any of the eliminated positions ones
- that would have been involved in the reorganization?
- 17 A Absolutely. Especially the non-ministerial
- 18 staff.
- 19 MR. SULLIVAN: Shouldn't we object to the
- 20 form of the question? It's not what the paragraph says.
- 21 It doesn't say that the staffing reduction began in '19.
- 22 It was just a --
- 23 MS. MICHAEL: Apologies, Charlie, can you
- 24 speak louder? I'm not sure that we're getting what you
- 25 said on the record.

- 1 MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just, objection to
- 2 the form of that question. The paragraph does not say,
- 3 as I'm reading the words on the page, that any staffing
- 4 reductions began in 2019. It just relates it to a 2019
- 5 level.
- 6 MS. MICHAEL: I'll repeat my question.
- 7 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 8 Q Were any of the eliminated positions ones that
- 9 would have been involved in the reorganization?
- 10 A Yes, mostly non-ministerial staff.
- 11 Q Can you describe those specific positions,
- 12 please?
- 13 A Mostly secretaries, file clerks, that type of
- 14 employee.
- Okay. How many secretaries were eliminated?
- 16 A I don't know those numbers.
- 17 O And how many file clerks were eliminated?
- 18 A I don't know those numbers.
- 19 Q And were any of the eliminated positions ones
- 20 that would've been involved in the defense of abuse
- 21 actions?
- 22 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 23 answer, if you can.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I don't really know. I
- 25 know, personally, now we have such a shortage of non-

- 1 ministerial staff that we ourselves are doing a lot of
- 2 the secretarial filing work ourselves.
- 3 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 4 Q Turning now -- apologies. One additional
- 5 question there.
- 6 What kind of filing work do you do?
- 7 A I do a lot of filing with the priest files.
- 8 The -- so any document that needs to go into the
- 9 priests' files in the archives, because as chancellor
- 10 I'm responsible for the archives, I actually do that
- 11 filing largely myself.
- 12 Q And earlier you said, "We do a lot of that
- 13 filing now." Who are the other individuals included in
- 14 that "we"?
- 15 A The vicar general does it. The chief
- 16 operating officer has done some of it. Vice chancellor
- 17 has done a huge amount of it.
- 18 Q And for each of those individuals, what filing
- 19 work do they do?
- 20 A Basically, outside of the chief operating
- 21 officer, the vicar general, myself, and the vice
- 22 chancellor do the filing related to all of the
- 23 individual priests' personnel files.
- Q And just help me understand this a little.
- 25 Those are for current priests?

- 1 A Current and deceased.
- 2 Q What new files are being filed in the deceased
- 3 priests' files?
- 4 A Anything that has to do with the Chapter 11 or
- 5 the CVA. Usually it's not filing, a lot of times it's
- 6 retrieving the files and looking for documents.
- 7 Q And I under -- in the last year, has there
- 8 been a significant amount of that filing necessary.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 10 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. There's been a huge
- 12 amount of that filing. With different bankruptcy
- issues. As well as the attorney general settlement
- 14 issues.
- 15 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 16 Q And what are those issues that have come up in
- 17 the past year?
- 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 19 answer.
- 20 THE WITNESS: We did an extensive file
- 21 review for insurance coverage. We also did -- I'm in
- 22 the files literally every day, doing something either
- 23 for the Chapter 11 or the attorney general settlement,
- 24 because we're in the middle of our audit right now.
- 25 BY MS. MICHAEL:

- 1 Q For the Chapter 11, my understanding is the
- 2 majority of the priests' files were produced well over a
- 3 year ago. Can you describe what additional work in the
- 4 files you've been doing over the last year?
- 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 6 answer, if you know.
- 7 MR. SULLIVAN: And privilege.
- 8 MR. SHEEHAN: And instruct you, to the
- 9 extent that answering would divulge attorney-client
- 10 privilege, I instruct you not to do so. If you can
- 11 answer, you can answer without doing so.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that
- 13 question.
- 14 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 15 Q And my understanding is that the settlement
- 16 with the attorney general was also completed almost a
- 17 year. Can you describe what you're doing in the files
- 18 related to the attorney general settlement for the past
- 19 year?
- 20 A Yes. We're in the middle of our audit right
- 21 now for the attorney general settlement. And it has
- 22 been nonstop retrieving information from those files, to
- 23 prove our compliance, for our independent auditor.
- 24 Q I believe I have moved your screen to the end
- of paragraph 11 of Exhibit 1. Is that what you are

- 1 seeing?
- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Yes.
- 3 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 4 Q At the end of this paragraph you list
- 5 departments that have been eliminated. Did any of these
- 6 departments play a role in the CVA litigation?
- 7 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 8 answer, if you know.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Camp Turner has. The --
- 10 I'm not sure about the others. I know Camp Turner has.
- 11 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 12 Q And what role did Camp Turner play in the CVA
- 13 litigation?
- 14 A There are cases against Camp Turner.
- 15 Q All right. And you said you've been in your
- 16 position, was it 22 months? Is that correct?
- 17 A No, about 11.
- 18 Q Apologies. Who was in your role prior to you?
- 19 A As chancellor, it was Sister Regina Murphy.
- 20 There was no in-house counsel. There was no child
- 21 protection policy coordinator. And there was no chief
- 22 compliance officer.
- 23 Q Okay. And prior to the bankruptcy filing, how
- 24 much time did Sister Regina -- Murry, is that correct?
- 25 A Murphy. Murphy.

- 1 Q Murphy. How much time did Sister Regina
- 2 Murphy spend administering the abuse actions, on a
- 3 monthly basis?
- 4 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 5 answer.
- 6 THE WITNESS: This is a guess, but I'm
- 7 thinking at least 50 percent of her time.
- 8 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 9 O And did that include abuse actions for which
- 10 the Diocese was not a party?
- 11 A That, I don't know.
- 12 Q And what would you be required to spend if the
- 13 abuse actions from which the Diocese is not a party move
- 14 forward?
- 15 A It would be a significant amount of time,
- 16 because there are very few people allowed access to the
- 17 personnel files, and I am the chief person that's
- 18 responsible for them. So I would be the one getting all
- 19 the information for the actions to go forward.
- 20 O And what would that involve that has not
- 21 already been done in the productions in the bankruptcy
- 22 case?
- 23 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- 24 Objection, to the extent you have to divulge attorney-
- 25 client information. If you can answer without doing so,

- 1 you may answer.
- THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that.
- 3 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 4 Q And in -- turning now, bringing you to
- 5 paragraph 10 of your declaration, you note, "I
- 6 anticipate that Mr. Suchan" -- is that correct?
- 7 A Suchan.
- 8 Q "Suchan, Ms. Musialowski, and I" --
- 9 A Correct.
- 11 amount of time to assist the bishop in addressing such
- 12 matters."
- 13 What are the "such matters" that you're
- 14 referring to there?
- 15 A Any individual suit that went forward, we
- 16 would all be working on the case. We have to monitor it
- 17 for how it's going to affect the bankruptcy, from a
- 18 financial standpoint, for Ms. Musialowski. Rich Suchan
- 19 is the chief operating officer, is involved in
- 20 everything that's happening with the Diocese.
- 21 And then, I would have to be -- I would spend
- 22 a huge amount of time just making sure that issues
- 23 aren't conflicting between the Diocese, the individual
- 24 parishes, and the lawsuits, and any rulings that might
- 25 come out of those cases.

- 1 Q And turning to paragraph 10, further down, it
- 2 says, "Mr. Scholl and I will need to coordinate the
- 3 efforts of the multiple law firms that the Diocese and
- 4 related entities would rely upon as defense counsel."
- 5 Why would you have to coordinate the efforts
- of law firms with respect to abuse actions to which the
- 7 Diocese is not a party?
- 8 A For the same reasons I stated before, why I
- 9 would be involved in those cases. And our insurance
- 10 program, Mr. Scholl, works with all of those. So I, as
- in-house counsel, have to be kept up to date in
- 12 everything that's happening through the insurance
- 13 program.
- 14 Q And have you ever coordinated abuse actions
- 15 before?
- 16 A No.
- 17 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- 18 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 19 Q What role do you currently have with respect
- 20 to the Diocese restructuring efforts?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent
- 22 that answering would require you divulge attorney-client
- 23 privilege information, I instruct you not to do so. You
- 24 can answer to the extent you're able to do so without
- 25 divulging that information.

- 1 THE WITNESS: I -- I will say that I am
- 2 at all of the mediations, any meeting we have regarding
- 3 the bankruptcy I'm a part of. I'm the one that supplies
- 4 all the information relating to the priest personnel
- 5 files in the cases.
- 6 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 7 Q Are there any other tasks relating to the
- 8 reorganization you're responsible for?
- 9 A Not directly, no.
- 10 Q And approximately how much time each month do
- 11 you spend on the reorganization?
- 12 A Probably at least 50 percent.
- 13 Q And how many hours is that?
- 14 A Month, that would be -- 20 hours a week --
- 15 80 hours a month.
- 16 Q And how much time do you specifically spend
- 17 attending mediation or negotiations with the Committee
- 18 or insurance carriers?
- 19 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 20 answer, if you know.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Every time we have a
- 22 mediation session, I'm here for the duration, so it's
- 23 nine, 10 hours a day, twice a month, or two days a
- 24 month, I should say.
- 25 BY MS. MICHAEL:

- 1 Q And how much time do you spend meeting with
- 2 bankruptcy counsel or internal diocesan staff regarding
- 3 the bankruptcy?
- 4 A Once or twice a week with counsel. And then,
- 5 internally, with staff, at least every week, probably
- 6 several times a week. It comes up in almost every
- 7 meeting that we have.
- 8 Q And approximately how many hours are spent on
- 9 those discussions specific to the bankruptcy?
- 10 A Per week, in the meetings, probably five a
- 11 week. In the in-house meetings with counsel, it's
- 12 probably another 10.
- 13 Q Apologies. I want to make sure that I'm
- 14 tracking that right. You spend 10 hours a week meeting
- 15 with counsel regarding the bankruptcy?
- 16 A Well, with different meetings that we have,
- 17 Zoom meetings, calls, things like that. It depends on
- 18 the week and what issue has come up.
- 19 Q And about how many hours a week do you spend
- 20 reviewing, preparing, or producing documents related to
- 21 the bankruptcy?
- 22 A That's a hard one to estimate, because it all
- 23 depends on what issue has come up that week. So I would
- 24 say, I'm working 40 hours a week, at least 15 are
- 25 actually me in the files.

- 1 Q And can any of those tasks be handled by other
- 2 staff members of the Diocese?
- 3 A No, because the files, per canon law, are
- 4 secret. And I don't mean that in a secretive way. I
- 5 mean, they're private. They're only allowed access by
- 6 the bishop, the vicar general, or the chancellor.
- 8 those files?
- 9 A When we grant permission to them. The bishop
- 10 is entitled to grant permission to them, so yes.
- 11 Q Could the bishop grant permission to other
- 12 staff within the Diocese, as well?
- 13 A They could -- he technically could, but it's
- 14 very frowned upon, and it's never done. Even as vice
- 15 chancellor I wasn't given access to the files, until I
- 16 became chancellor. I'm responsible, as chancellor, per
- 17 canon law, for safeguarding the privacy of those files.
- 18 Q And how would your ability to complete those
- 19 tasks be impacted if the state court actions move
- 20 forward?
- 21 A There would be several more meetings with
- 22 counsel. There would be more times when I had to go
- 23 into the files and gather documents, to prepare for
- 24 litigation. There would be, I can imagine, fielding
- 25 hundreds of questions from various sources. It would be

- 1 a monumental task.
- 2 Q So what, in addition to going into the files
- 3 and meeting with counsel, would that involve --
- 4 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 5 MS. MICHAEL: -- for you?
- 6 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 7 answer, if you know.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure exactly
- 9 what you mean.
- 10 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 11 Q You -- I asked what would be impacted if the
- 12 state -- if the abuse actions moved forward, and you
- 13 mentioned going into the files, and additional meetings
- 14 with counsel. I'm asking, are there any other
- 15 additional tasks you have to undertake?
- 16 A Well, going into the -- I would be monitoring
- 17 all of the litigation, as in-house counsel. I would
- 18 have to monitor each and every single case. And going
- 19 into the files sounds simpler than it actually is. It's
- 20 me going through the entire file, finding all the
- 21 relevant information. It takes hours to do that.
- 22 O And how many files remain that have not
- 23 already been produced to your outside counsel?
- 24 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 25 answer, if you know.

- 1 THE WITNESS: That I don't know. That
- 2 was before my time. So I don't know.
- 3 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 4 Q Uh-huh. So when you're currently reviewing
- 5 files, those may be files that outside counsel also
- 6 already have access to?
- 7 MR. SULLIVAN: I'm going to object to
- 8 form. I don't know what outside counsel you're
- 9 referring to. And also, the documents that are produced
- in the bankruptcy are completely unavailable in CVA
- 11 actions, per the agreement, stipulation which has been
- 12 entered into.
- So any discovery that proceeds -- so
- 14 again, objection to form, because it presupposes that
- those documents may be available, and they're not.
- 16 MS. MICHAEL: Okay. I'm not sure that's
- 17 relevant. My question -- I'll repeat my question.
- 18 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 19 Q Outside counsel would be any counsel, the
- 20 Diocese employees, that is not you or anyone else who is
- 21 in-house at the Diocese. I'm asking if there are files
- 22 that have not already been produced to your outside
- 23 counsel.
- 24 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 25 answer, if you know.

- 1 THE WITNESS: I don't know. That was
- 2 before my time.
- 3 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 4 Q Okay. Does Bishop Fisher maintain timesheets,
- 5 or otherwise record his time?
- 6 A I do not believe he does, no.
- 7 Q And have you spoken to Bishop Fisher regarding
- 8 his role in administering the abuse actions if the stay
- 9 is lifted.
- 10 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent
- 11 that answering would call for attorney-client privilege,
- 12 I'd instruct you not to answer. If you can answer
- 13 without doing so, you may.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that.
- 15 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 16 Q And how much time was Bishop Fisher required
- 17 to spend administering abuse actions, prior to the
- 18 bankruptcy filing, on a monthly basis?
- 19 A Bishop Fisher wasn't here then. And I wasn't
- 20 here then. So I don't know.
- 21 Q Do you know how much time the previous bishop
- 22 was required to spend administering abuse actions, prior
- 23 to the bankruptcy filing?
- 24 A Any -- any idea.
- 25 Q And has Bishop Fisher told you how much time

- 1 he would have to spend administering abuse actions to
- 2 which the Diocese to which he is not a party, if the
- 3 actions are permitted to go forward?
- 4 A That wouldn't be something that he would
- 5 mandate.
- 6 O And has Bishop Fisher told you what he would
- 7 be required to do if the abuse actions to which the
- 8 Diocese is not a party go forward?
- 9 A As in-house counsel, that would be attorney-
- 10 client privilege.
- 11 Q And did Bishop Fisher make important decisions
- 12 regarding strategic and policy considerations for the
- 13 abuse actions to which the Diocese was not a party,
- 14 prior to the bankrupt -- well, did Bishop Fisher or the
- 15 previous bishop make important decisions regarding
- 16 strategic and policy considerations for the abuse
- 17 actions to which the Diocese was not a party, prior to
- 18 the bankruptcy?
- 19 MR. SHEEHAN: I'm going to object to the
- 20 form of the question, ask you to restate it. It's
- 21 pretty hard to follow. I know -- to the extent you can
- 22 answer it, go ahead.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I would have no idea what
- 24 the previous bishop has done. I know that Bishop Fisher
- 25 is in almost all of the meetings that I was talking

- 1 about, that -- that take so much time with me. He is
- 2 there. He's at the mediation sessions. He has, you
- 3 know, meetings that I probably don't even know about
- 4 regarding the mediations. So he has spent a huge amount
- 5 of time on this.
- 6 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 7 Q And if the abuse actions go forward, what is
- 8 your basis for asserting that Bishop Fisher will have to
- 9 make important decisions regarding strategic and policy
- 10 considerations for those actions?
- 11 A Well, as the bishop, he is on the board of all
- 12 of the individual parishes, so he's responsible. So he
- 13 has to be aware of every single action that's happening
- 14 with an individual parish, as a board member.
- 15 Q Is the bishop responsible for decisions
- 16 related to the parish's involvement in the bankruptcy
- 17 case?
- 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to the form. You
- 19 can answer, if you can.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I'm not exactly sure what
- 21 you mean.
- 22 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 23 Q Would the bishop be responsible for deciding
- 24 what individual parishes contribute to the bankruptcy
- 25 case?

- 1 A Contribute monetarily, or --
- 2 Q Monetarily, yes. To any eventual settlement
- 3 of bankruptcy.
- 4 MR. SULLIVAN: Privilege.
- 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form, to the
- 6 extent --
- 7 MS. MICHAEL: Charlie, if you're
- 8 speaking, you need to speak loud enough that we can hear
- 9 it on the record.
- 10 MR. SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, what did you
- 11 say?
- MS. MICHAEL: We couldn't hear what you
- 13 were just saying on the record.
- MR. SULLIVAN: We objected to the form,
- 15 and objected to the extent that it solicits material
- 16 that's covered by the attorney-client privilege.
- 17 MS. MICHAEL: I'm not asking about
- 18 anything related to attorney-client privilege. I'm
- 19 asking --
- MR. SULLIVAN: You're going far afield to
- 21 anything that's relevant to the motion that's before the
- 22 court. You're exploring matters relating to the
- 23 mediation --
- MS. MICHAEL: Charlie, I --
- MR. SULLIVAN: -- and privilege of a

- 1 potential settlement --
- MS. MICHAEL: -- it's --
- 3 MR. SULLIVAN: -- and it goes way beyond
- 4 the scope of what's permissible in this deposition.
- 5 Especially since it solicits privileged information.
- 6 MS. MICHAEL: Thank you. I'm going to
- 7 repeat the question.
- 8 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 9 Q Is Bishop Fisher responsible for making
- 10 decisions for the parishes related to their monetary
- 11 contribution to any settlement in the bankruptcy case?
- MR. SHEEHAN: We repeat the objection.
- THE WITNESS: Can I answer?
- 14 MR. SHEEHAN: You can answer, if you
- 15 know.
- 16 THE WITNESS: He is involved in nearly
- 17 all of the meetings where we discuss those matters. If
- 18 he's in town, and available, he is there, and making
- 19 decisions.
- 20 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 21 Q And if the abuse actions go forward, when
- 22 would Bishop Fisher need to make the strategic and
- 23 policy decisions in those abuse actions?
- 24 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. Calls
- 25 for speculation. You can answer, if you know.

- 1 THE WITNESS: As a board member of every
- 2 individual parish, I would assume that he would be
- 3 involved from the very beginning.
- 4 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 5 Q And what is Bishop Fisher's current role with
- 6 respect to tasks related to the Diocese restructuring
- 7 efforts?
- 8 A I'm not sure. That's kind of vague. Again, I
- 9 reiterate that he's at all the mediation sessions when
- 10 he's in town. He's at all of the meetings where we
- 11 discuss restructuring. He is in all of the -- almost
- 12 all of the calls with counsel.
- 13 Q And so let's break that down. How much time
- 14 does Bishop Fisher spend in participating in mediation
- in the bankruptcy proceeding, on a monthly basis?
- 16 A Nine, 10 hours, two days a month, he's here,
- 17 participating. And then, all of the -- the calls that
- 18 we have. He's on the weekly calls with outside counsel.
- 19 He's on the -- the pop-up calls that we have to address
- 20 specific issues. He's at our senior staff meetings,
- 21 when we're just having lengthy discussions about
- 22 restructuring.
- 23 Q And how much time, on a monthly basis, does
- 24 Bishop Fisher spend viewing, preparing, or producing
- 25 documents in the Chapter 11 case?

- 1 A He doesn't produce the documents, that would
- 2 be my job, and counsels' job. But he knows what we're
- 3 producing, he's aware of everything that's happening.
- 4 Q And is it your belief that Bishop Fisher will
- 5 not be able to undertake his tasks related to the
- 6 restructuring if the abuse actions move forward?
- 7 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 8 answer, if you know.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is absolutely my
- 10 belief. The man is --
- 11 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 12 Q On what do you base that?
- 13 A The man is stretched so thin right now, he
- 14 doesn't have a second to breathe. He's constantly being
- 15 pulled from one issue to another. I don't know how he
- 16 could possibly handle having to participate in the
- 17 individual abuse actions in state court.
- 18 Q And is any of your testimony based on
- 19 privileged information you received from the bishop?
- 20 A Regarding what?
- 21 Q Regarding his roles and responsibilities?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 24 MR. SULLIVAN: And also, to the extent
- 25 that the question is seeking to solicit a waiver of

- 1 privilege, that would be objectionable.
- 2 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 3 Q Is there any responsibilities that Bishop
- 4 Fisher currently has that he could delegate?
- 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 6 answer, if you know.
- 7 THE WITNESS: He can't delegate the fact
- 8 that he is on the board of all of the individual
- 9 parishes. So no.
- 10 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 11 Q And if the abuse actions go forward, what
- 12 would the responsibility of the individual pastors of
- 13 those parishes be?
- 14 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 15 answer, if you know.
- 16 THE WITNESS: They would be the ones
- 17 responsible -- actually, I don't know. I can't answer
- 18 that.
- 19 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 20 Q And does -- outside of the context of the
- 21 Chapter 11 case, or the abuse actions, is Bishop Fisher
- 22 involved in the day-to-day management of each parish?
- 23 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 24 answer.
- THE WITNESS: To some extent, yes, he is.

- 1 Because he has to approve, canonically, certain things
- 2 that parishes do and don't do. So yes, he is involved
- 3 in the day-to-day.
- 4 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 5 Q Can you specify those things --
- 6 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to form.
- 7 MS. MICHAEL: -- parishes --
- 8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, what?
- 9 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 10 Q Can you specify those things that Bishop
- 11 Fisher must be involved in at the parish level on the
- 12 day-to-day?
- 13 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 14 answer, if you know.
- MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, and specifically the
- 16 form objection. What do you mean by day-to-day? I
- 17 think the witness has testified about certain canonical
- 18 obligations. I mean, it's -- you know, what is day-to-
- 19 day? Is it decisions on the ground? If you could
- 20 amplify that, it would be better.
- MS. MICHAEL: Okay. Going forward, I
- 22 would appreciate if the witness asked for clarification,
- 23 and counsel stuck to formal objections. But I will
- 24 clarify.
- 25 BY MS. MICHAEL:

- 1 Q I am asking, outside of the context of the
- 2 Chapter 11 case, and the abuse actions, in what context
- 3 does Bishop Fisher get involved in the management of
- 4 individual parishes?
- 5 A He is responsible for assigning all cleric
- 6 personnel to the parishes. He's responsible for
- 7 assigning priests, deacons, pastors, parochial vicars.
- 8 He's responsible for okaying certain financial
- 9 expenditures. He's responsible for trustee
- 10 appointments, I believe. So he is involved.
- He's responsible if the parish needs to change
- 12 their use. There are several canonical decrees that he
- is responsible for with all of the parishes.
- MR. SULLIVAN: Excuse me, Ms. Brittany.
- 15 I want to take a break and confer with Mr. Sheehan
- 16 outside of the room, if we may.
- MS. MICHAEL: Sure.
- THE REPORTER: Ms. Michael, would you
- 19 like to go off the record?
- MS. MICHAEL: Yes, please.
- 21 THE REPORTER: Okay. The time is now
- 22 11:54 a.m. Eastern, and we are off the record.
- 23 (Off the record.)
- 24 THE REPORTER: The time is now 12:02 p.m.
- 25 Eastern, and we're back on the record. You may proceed.

- 1 MS. MICHAEL: Thank you.
- 2 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 3 Q Ms. Potzler, did you discuss anything with
- 4 your counsel during this break?
- 5 A I did not.
- 6 Q Okay. Going back to our previous discussion,
- 7 is it your belief that Bishop Fisher will be involved in
- 8 reviewing document productions in the abuse actions?
- 9 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 10 if you know.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know. Sorry.
- 12 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 13 Q And will Bishop Fisher be involved in
- 14 attending depositions where he is not the deponent in
- 15 any of these actions?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 17 if you know.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 19 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 20 Q Will Bishop Fisher be involved in reviewing
- 21 pleadings in the abuse actions?
- 22 A Excuse me. I'm sorry. He may. That may come
- 23 up at some point, yes.
- 24 Q Okay. What pleadings will Bishop Fisher be
- 25 involved in reviewing?

- 1 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 2 if you know.
- 3 THE WITNESS: That calls for speculation.
- 4 I wouldn't know.
- 5 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 6 Q And what strategic decisions would Bishop
- 7 Fisher be involved in making?
- 8 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to form. On
- 9 behalf of the Diocese or on behalf of what party?
- 10 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 11 Q I'm referring to Ms. Potzler's statement that
- 12 Bishop Fisher would be involved in making strategic
- 13 decisions in the abuse actions. I'm now saying, what
- 14 strategic decisions would Bishop Fisher be involved in
- 15 making in the abuse action?
- 16 A Well, being on the board of every individual
- 17 parish, he would have to make decisions as to -- excuse
- 18 me -- strategic decisions -- excuse me, I'm sorry --
- 19 litigation, as well as any potential future financial
- 20 impact on each individual parish.
- 21 Q And can you specify what those strategic
- 22 decisions he'd be involved in are?
- 23 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 24 answer.
- THE WITNESS: Well, just an as example,

- 1 if a parish faces a suit, there is the absolute
- 2 potential that they're going to wind up filing
- 3 bankruptcy as well. So he would be involved in all of
- 4 that.
- 5 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 6 Q Can you explain what you mean when you say,
- 7 "all of that"?
- 8 A He's responsible for the financial viability
- 9 of the parishes, to some extent. So he would be
- 10 involved in whether or not bankruptcy filings were in
- 11 the offing.
- 12 0 Would he be involved in decisions to file
- 13 motions to dismiss in the individual abuse actions?
- 14 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 15 if you know.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 17 MR. SULLIVAN: And again, would it be on
- 18 behalf of the parishes or the Diocese? Because they're
- 19 -- we also asserted in the pleadings that there are
- 20 collateral estoppel impact upon the Diocese. So --
- MS. MICHAEL: Mr. Sullivan --
- 22 MR. SULLIVAN: -- in terms of that --
- 23 MS. MICHAEL: -- are you objecting?
- 24 MR. SULLIVAN: -- Yes, I am. To the form
- 25 of the question.

- 1 MS. MICHAEL: Okay.
- 2 MR. SULLIVAN: To the form of the
- 3 question. I have to illustrate the objection.
- 4 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 5 Q Ms. Potzler, you can answer the question.
- 6 Would Bishop Fisher be involved in the decision to file
- 7 a motion to dismiss in an abuse action?
- 8 A He would be involved in those decisions.
- 9 O All right. And would Bishop Fisher be
- 10 involved in the decision to file a motion for summary
- 11 judgment in each individual abuse action?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. And would Bishop Fisher be involved in
- 14 settlement discussions in each individual abuse action?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 16 if you know.
- 17 THE WITNESS: That, I don't know. Most
- 18 likely he would, because he's been involved in all of
- 19 the decision making processes so far.
- 20 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 21 Q Can you specify what decision making processes
- 22 so far he has been involved in?
- 23 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent
- 24 that answering would call for attorney-client privilege,
- 25 I instruct you not to answer. If you can do so without

- 1 doing so, you can answer.
- 2 THE WITNESS: I can't. That would
- 3 violate attorney-client privilege.
- 4 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 5 Q So to clarify, you're refusing to answer what
- 6 decisions thus far Bishop Fisher has been involved in,
- 7 on the basis of privilege?
- 8 A Yes. Because I'm his in-house counsel.
- 9 O And is Bishop Fisher involved in non-abuse
- 10 litigation against parishes, for example, if someone
- 11 slips and falls, and sues on that basis?
- 12 A I don't know that answer.
- 13 Q Okay. In a slip and fall litigation against a
- 14 parish, would Bishop Fisher be involved in a motion to
- 15 file to motion to dismiss?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 17 if you know.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 19 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 20 Q Have there been any slip and fall lawsuits
- 21 against the parishes since you've been in your position?
- 22 A I'm sure there has, but that doesn't come
- 23 through me.
- Q Who does that go through?
- 25 A John Scholl.

- O Okay. So you have no involvement in
- 2 litigation against parishes that -- for slip and falls?
- 3 A There are times when I will see that they
- 4 could come to me with a case, because they've come to me
- 5 with cases that do not involve slip and falls, so I can
- 6 see that happening in the future. But it has not
- 7 happened to this point.
- 8 Q What kinds of cases, besides slip and falls
- 9 and abuse, have they brought to you?
- 10 A Discrimination cases, lease cases. I believe
- 11 that's all I've had. So several lease cases, and a few
- 12 discrimination cases.
- 13 Q And what's your involvement in those
- 14 discrimination cases?
- 15 A Basically, offering my opinion, as in-house
- 16 counsel, as to the direction we should take. Basically,
- 17 that's it.
- 18 Q Okay. And what's your involvement in those
- 19 lease cases?
- 20 A I've been reviewing the leases, and giving
- 21 advice as to whether or not they've been breached.
- 22 Q And do you work -- in the lease cases, is
- 23 there outside counsel hired by the parish or the Diocese
- 24 to defend or prosecute in those cases?
- 25 A The ones that I have recently looked at, no.

- 1 I'm sure there are some others that they've probably
- 2 hired outside counsel.
- 3 Q And in the discrimination cases, is there
- 4 outside counsel hired to defend the parish?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Do you work directly with that outside
- 7 counsel?
- 8 A Sometimes I do, sometimes I don't. It depends
- 9 on the case.
- 10 Q If you don't, who does?
- 11 A Probably John Scholl. I know the vicar
- 12 general's been involved. I'm sure the bishop has been
- 13 apprised. The chief operating officer is also aware.
- 14 The chief financial officer is aware when we have
- 15 lawsuits of any nature.
- 16 Q But who works directly with the outside
- 17 counsel?
- 18 A John Scholl.
- 19 O And what determines cases that are brought to
- 20 you versus cases that Mr. Scholl oversees?
- 21 A I think they're just if there is an
- 22 interesting question of fact or law, they tend to bring
- 23 them up to me. It's on a case by case basis.
- 24 Q And is Bishop Fisher involved in any of the
- 25 discrimination cases?

- 1 A He is apprised of all of them. I believe he
- 2 reads the pleadings. I'm not sure. I -- you know, I
- 3 don't know that for a fact. He is aware of all of that.
- 4 And we have had discussions at meetings regarding those
- 5 cases.
- 6 O And would Bishop Fisher be involved in the
- 7 decision to file a motion to dismiss in one of those
- 8 discrimination cases?
- 9 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent
- 10 answering will call for attorney-client privilege, I
- 11 instruct you not to answer. You can answer it without
- 12 doing so, if not.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I have seen him be involved
- 14 in the discussions, yes.
- 15 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 16 Q And is Bishop Fisher involved in any decision
- 17 to file a motion for summary judgment in the
- 18 discrimination cases?
- 19 A That --
- MR. SHEEHAN: Sorry. The same objection,
- 21 with respect to privilege.
- 22 THE WITNESS: And I don't know.
- 23 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 24 Q For the motions to dismiss, does Bishop Fisher
- 25 have to be involved? You said he's been involved in

- 1 those discussions, some of those discussions, but do you
- 2 require Bishop Fisher's sign-off on those motions to
- 3 dismiss?
- 4 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent
- 5 answering would call for attorney-client privilege, I'd
- 6 instruct you not to answer. If you can answer without
- 7 doing so, you may.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that.
- 9 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 10 Q So to clarify, you cannot tell us if Bishop
- 11 Fisher's permission is required to file a motion to
- 12 dismiss in a discrimination, on the basis of attorney-
- 13 client privilege?
- 14 A That. And the fact that I'm not sure. It
- 15 depends on the case, and on canon law.
- 16 Q When does canon law require Bishop Fisher to
- 17 be involved in the decision to file a motion to dismiss?
- 18 A I don't know. That's why I said I can't
- 19 answer that. Because I know -- I'm not a canon lawyer.
- 20 There is very specific rules regarding these issues, but
- 21 I don't know specifically what they are.
- 22 Q Moving to Father Karalus -- am I pronouncing
- 23 that right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Does Father Karalus maintain timesheets, or

- 1 otherwise record his time?
- 2 A I don't know.
- 3 Q Have you spoken to Father Karalus regarding
- 4 his role in administering the abuse actions prior to the
- 5 bankruptcy?
- 6 A Not specifically, no.
- 7 Q Okay. Do you know how much time Father
- 8 Karalus spent administering the abuse actions prior to
- 9 the bankruptcy?
- 10 A I do not.
- 11 Q And has Father Karalus told you how much time
- 12 he will have to spend administering the abuse actions to
- 13 which the Diocese is not a party, if the actions are
- 14 permitted to go forward?
- 15 A We haven't had any conversations directly
- 16 relating to that.
- 17 O And what role would Father Karalus have
- 18 regarding the abuse actions that the Diocese is not a
- 19 party to, if they are permitted to go forward?
- 20 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I'm just assuming here, but
- 22 I think that he would be called to testify in several of
- 23 them, as his role of vicar general, he is taking over
- 24 from -- some of the duties of former employees that were
- 25 heavily involved in the abuse actions.

- 1 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 2 Q And who are those former employees whose
- 3 duties he has taken over?
- 4 A Auxiliary Bishop Grosz, who was extensively
- 5 involved, from what I can tell by the files. Father
- 6 Karalus has taken over several of those duties. I'm not
- 7 sure which ones, but I know that those have been given
- 8 to him, so he would be heavily involved, if there's a --
- 9 excuse me -- if it's based on the fact Bishop Grosz was
- 10 so heavily involved, I'm assuming that Father Karalus
- 11 would be called to testify.
- 12 Q And other than testifying, how else would
- 13 Father Karalus be involved in the abuse actions to which
- 14 the Diocese is not a party?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 16 if you know.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Well, just on a day-to-day
- 18 basis, I'm in his office all of the time, talking about
- 19 specific cases, and he is at all of the meetings where
- 20 we discuss the cases. So he spends many, many hours a
- 21 week dealing with it.
- 22 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 23 Q And what is his role in dealing with the
- 24 cases? Does he make decisions?
- 25 A Sometimes.

- 1 O And in what areas does he make decisions?
- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent
- 3 answering would call for you to divulge attorney-client
- 4 privilege information, I instruct you not to do so. If
- 5 you can answer without doing so, you may.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that.
- 7 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 8 Q So to clarify, you cannot answer Father
- 9 Karalus's role in making decisions regarding abuse
- 10 actions on the basis of attorney-client privilege?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 MR. SHEEHAN: I believe your question was
- 13 more specific than that, regarding which decisions.
- 14 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- Okay. So to clarify, you cannot answer which
- 16 decisions Father Karalus is responsible for regarding
- 17 abuse actions on the basis of attorney-client privilege?
- 18 A Yeah, it's a case by case basis. So
- 19 frequently we're talking about -- you know, I'm giving
- 20 him legal advice.
- 21 Q Uh-huh. And on the basis of attorney-client
- 22 privilege, you cannot tell me in what scenarios Father
- 23 Karalus is the one responsible for making decisions
- 24 regarding those abuse actions?
- 25 A Again, it's a case by case basis, so if he's

- 1 asking me for my opinion as to how the -- we should
- 2 proceed legally, no, I can't answer that.
- 3 Q I'm not asking in a specific case what legal
- 4 advice he has asked for. I am asking, overall, when is
- 5 he responsible for making decisions in abuse actions?
- 6 A Well, as the vicar general, he's responsible
- 7 whenever the bishop is absent. So if Bishop Fisher
- 8 isn't here, for whatever reason, he's responsible for
- 9 making all of those decisions. He's the second in
- 10 command of the Diocese.
- 11 Q So when Bishop Fisher is present, does Father
- 12 Karalus have any responsibility for making decisions in
- 13 abuse actions?
- 14 A He is at all the meetings where we discuss the
- 15 actions that we're taking, and making decisions, so to
- 16 that extent, yes.
- 17 Q To the extent that he is present at meetings,
- 18 then he is involved? Am I understanding --
- 19 A Right.
- 20 0 -- that correctly?
- 21 A Because at the meetings we are deciding which
- 22 action to pursue.
- 23 O And what role does Father Karalus have with
- 24 respect to the Diocese restructuring efforts?
- 25 A That, I can't really answer, because he's not

- 1 part of the restructuring, the mediation, he's not part
- of the weekly calls. So I can't really answer that.
- 3 Q Does Father Karalus review, prepare, or
- 4 produce any of the documents related to the
- 5 restructuring efforts?
- 6 A I don't know.
- 7 Q All right. Turning now to Mr. Suchan. Does
- 8 Mr. Suchan maintain timesheets or otherwise record his
- 9 time?
- 10 A I believe he may. I'm not sure.
- 11 Q Have you spoken to Mr. Suchan regarding --
- 12 apologies.
- 13 A I'm sorry, repeat?
- Q Oh, I thought I heard you saying something
- 15 else.
- 16 Have you spoken to Mr. Suchan regarding his
- 17 role in administering abuse actions prior to the
- 18 bankruptcy filings?
- 19 A I know he was involved. I don't know to what
- 20 extent.
- 21 Q And how much time was Mr. Suchan required to
- 22 spend, prior to the bankruptcy filing, administering
- 23 abuse actions?
- 24 A I would have no way of knowing that.
- 25 Q Has Mr. Suchan told you how much time he will

- 1 have to spend administering abuse actions to which the
- 2 Diocese is not a party if these actions are permitted to
- 3 go forward?
- 4 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 5 answer, if you know.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know. He has not
- 7 specifically -- we have not had that conversation,
- 8 specifically, as to how much time -- additional time, he
- 9 thought he would have to spend. It would be very heavy
- 10 time, just on my own approximation.
- 11 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 12 Q And what is the basis for your -- for that
- 13 approximation?
- 14 A He is even more involved than I am with the
- 15 bankruptcy. He is in every meeting, every phone call.
- 16 He is heavily involved in the negotiations, as to how
- 17 much our related entities will be contributing. He is
- 18 involved in all the communications to the individual
- 19 entities. I would say at least 70 percent of his day is
- 20 spent involved with the bankruptcy litigation.
- 21 Q And how would that -- let me restart that
- 22 question.
- 23 What would Mr. Suchan's involvement with the
- 24 abuse actions be for actions to which the Diocese is not
- 25 a party, if those are allowed to go forward?

- 1 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 2 if you know.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I don't know specifically.
- 4 I know that he would be involved -- he's the one that
- 5 keeps the records on the databases of all of the
- 6 different cases going forward. So when anyone needs
- 7 information, they go to him, because he's tracking all
- 8 of it.
- 9 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 10 Q Can you explain in a little bit more detail
- 11 what exactly he's tracking?
- 12 A All the individual claimants, all the
- 13 individual parishes, all the individual accusers. How
- 14 much has been paid out with the IRCP program. I believe
- 15 he may be tracking which cases are duplicative. That
- 16 type of thing.
- 17 Q And what would his tracking responsibilities
- 18 be for the abuse actions, if they went forward, if the
- 19 Diocese is not a party to those actions?
- 20 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 21 if you know.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I'm just speculating here,
- 23 but I'm assuming that he would be the one keeping track
- of each case that went forward, database-wise, and
- 25 correlating and communicating with everyone, database-

- 1 wise, so that we're all on the same page.
- 2 So he has, like I said, huge lists of
- 3 databases that he maintains, so these would be more
- 4 databases for him to bring up, what's happening when,
- 5 which parish this one is happening at, which parish is
- 6 at this point in the litigation.
- 7 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 8 Q And does your counsel also track the
- 9 information that Mr. Suchan tracks, regarding the
- 10 claims, and the litigation?
- 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent
- 12 answering would call for attorney-client privilege, I
- 13 instruct you not to answer.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that.
- 15 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 16 Q To clarify, you cannot answer whether your
- 17 counsel also tracks claims in state court actions on the
- 18 basis of attorney-client privilege?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q And does Mr. Suchan have a role with any of
- 21 the related entities?
- 22 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- answer.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure exactly
- 25 what you mean.

- 1 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 3 A Not a specific role, but he is involved with
- 4 every parish, to the extent that he is frequently
- 5 receiving phone calls, questions, regarding the Chapter
- 6 11. He is the one that is heading up the committee that
- 7 is communicating to the individual parishes, trying to
- 8 determine the extent that they will participate in the
- 9 settlement, that type of thing.
- 10 Q But Mr. Suchan is not an employee of any
- 11 individual parish; is that correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And he is not a board member of any of the
- 14 individual parishes?
- 15 A I don't believe so. But I'm guessing. I'm
- 16 guessing there.
- 17 O Is it your belief that Mr. Suchan would not be
- 18 able to undertake both the tasks needed to administer
- 19 the abuse actions to which the Diocese is not a party,
- 20 and the tasks related to the Diocese restructuring
- 21 efforts?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q On what do you base that belief?
- 24 A The fact that he's already working, like, 60,
- 25 70 hours a week, and is ridiculously stretched thin.

- 1 Q Have you ever discussed this with him?
- 2 A Not specifically. It's just from my
- 3 observations, and the amount of work that we're doing on
- 4 these issues every day.
- 5 Q And are there any tasks, besides tracking,
- 6 that Mr. Suchan would be responsible for with the abuse
- 7 actions?
- 8 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 9 if you know.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Well, he is, like I said,
- 11 responsible for determining the contributions of each
- 12 individual entity towards the settlement.
- 13 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 14 Q Okay. Does Ms. Musialowski maintain
- 15 timesheets, or otherwise record her time?
- 16 A I don't know.
- 17 Q And have you spoken to Ms. Musialowski
- 18 regarding her role in administering the abuse actions
- 19 prior to the bankruptcy filing?
- 20 A No. She wasn't employed by the Diocese at
- 21 that point.
- 22 O And who was in her role prior to her?
- 23 A I'm not exactly sure.
- Q Do you know how much time the previous CFO
- 25 spent administering abuse actions, prior to the

- 1 bankruptcy filing?
- 2 A I would have no way of knowing that.
- 3 Q Uh-huh. And has Ms. Musialowski told you how
- 4 much time she will have to spend administering abuse
- 5 actions to which the Diocese is not a party, if those
- 6 actions are permitted to go forward?
- 7 A We have not had that conversation.
- 8 Q Okay. What role would Ms. Musialowski have in
- 9 administering the abuse actions to which the Diocese is
- 10 not a party, if those actions are permitted to go
- 11 forward?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. You can answer,
- 13 if you know.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 15 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 16 Q And what role does Ms. Musialowski currently
- 17 have with respect to the Diocese reorganization efforts?
- 18 A She is in all the meetings that I previously
- 19 discussed. She is in all of the mediations. And she is
- 20 -- outside Mr. Suchan, she is responsible for the
- 21 committee that is determining which -- what each entity
- 22 will be responsible for, settlement-wise.
- 23 O Who are --
- 24 A It's a huge time --
- 25 Q Got it.

- 1 A I was just going to say, it's a huge time
- 2 commitment for both of them.
- 3 Q Who are the members of that committee?
- 4 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent
- 5 that answering would call for attorney-client privilege,
- 6 I instruct you not to answer.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Can't answer that.
- 8 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 9 Q For the record, you're refusing to answer, on
- 10 the basis of attorney-client privilege, who the members
- 11 of the committee that are determining the related
- 12 entities' contribution is?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q Okay. But Ms. Musialowski is a member of that
- 15 committee, correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And Mr. Suchan is a member of that committee,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And how many other members of that committee
- 21 are there?
- 22 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. Again, this is
- 23 going beyond the scope of this deposition, as well,
- 24 which is limited to the issues involved in this motion.
- 25 BY MS. MICHAEL:

- 1 Q I'll restate my question. How any other
- 2 members of that committee are there?
- 3 A I honestly don't know how many people. I'm
- 4 not on that committee.
- 5 Q Is Bishop Fisher on that committee?
- 6 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Once again,
- 7 object to the extent that answering will call for
- 8 attorney-client privilege, and on the basis that this
- 9 line of questioning is beyond the scope of this
- 10 deposition.
- 11 MS. MICHAEL: I'll restate the question.
- 12 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 13 Q Is Bishop Fisher on that committee?
- 14 A I can't answer that.
- 15 Q So for the record, you cannot tell me if
- 16 Bishop Fisher's on the committee that Mr. Suchan and Ms.
- 17 Musialowski are on, on the basis of attorney-client
- 18 privilege?
- 19 A Correct. The reason I know that Suchan and
- 20 Musialowski are on there is because I've discussed that
- 21 with them. I am not on the committee.
- 22 Q I just want to clarify then, that your answer
- 23 to whether Bishop Fisher is on that committee is that
- 24 you cannot respond due to attorney-client privilege?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 O Okay. Is Father Karalus on that committee?
- 2 MR. SULLIVAN: I assert the same
- 3 privilege -- the same objection.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Correct. I can't answer
- 5 that.
- 6 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 7 Q All right. So to clarify for the record, you
- 8 cannot answer if Father Karalus is on the committee that
- 9 Ms. Musialowski and Mr. Suchan are on, to determine the
- 10 related entities' contribution, on the basis of
- 11 attorney-client privilege?
- 12 A Correct.
- MR. SULLIVAN: So let's just clarify some
- 14 points here. We're drilling down --
- MS. MICHAEL: Mr. Sullivan, are you
- 16 testifying?
- 17 MR. SULLIVAN: I am actually stating an
- 18 objection. And what we're -- you're -- and objection
- 19 also, on the basis of matters that are being addressed
- 20 in mediation. So we're talking now about --
- MS. MICHAEL: Okay. I've heard your
- 22 objection, Mr. Sullivan. I'd like to go back to asking
- 23 questions of the witness.
- 24 MR. SCHARF: Just a question, Mr.
- 25 Sullivan, are you -- I thought Mr. Sheehan was defending

- 1 the witness. It seems we have two people interposing
- 2 objections. I think one is enough.
- 3 MR. SULLIVAN: Well, then why are you
- 4 speaking?
- 5 MR. SCHARF: I'm asking --
- MS. MICHAEL: Ms. Potzler --
- 7 MR. SCHARF: I guess we'll both agree
- 8 that neither you or I will speak.
- 9 MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. But I -- I guess
- 10 what I'm pointing out, and Mr. Sheehan is not directly
- involved in the mediation, so he doesn't have firsthand
- 12 knowledge of this, but what we're talking about here,
- 13 discussions are happening in the context of mediation.
- 14 I do think that we are --
- MS. MICHAEL: Mr. Sullivan, I think that
- 16 you're veering into coaching your witness. So I'm going
- 17 to ask that I can continue asking questions of the
- 18 witness, and that you simply state your objections for
- 19 the record.
- MR. SULLIVAN: Fine.
- 21 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 22 Q All right. Ms. Potzler, you mentioned -- we
- 23 were discussing Ms. Musialowski, and her involvement in
- 24 the restructuring efforts. You mentioned that she was
- on the committee, which took a significant amount of

- 1 time, of her time, to determine the related entities'
- 2 contribution to the bankruptcy.
- 3 Is that committee solely responsible for
- 4 determining that contribution?
- 5 A Again --
- 6 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent --
- 7 objection to any question regarding the composition or
- 8 the activities of that committee, both to the extent it
- 9 calls for attorney-client privilege, or any type of
- 10 mediation privilege in any way. And on the grounds that
- it's beyond the scope of the subject matter of this
- 12 deposition.
- 13 THE WITNESS: So for all those reasons, I
- 14 can't answer that.
- 15 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 16 Q All right. So for the record, Ms. Potzler,
- 17 just to clarify, you are not a member of that committee,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q Okay. Yet, the committee's composition, and
- 21 authority, it is your position that you cannot answer
- 22 questions regarding those issues because of attorney-
- 23 client privilege?
- 24 MR. SHEEHAN: And we objected on the
- 25 grounds of --

- 1 MS. MICHAEL: I would --
- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: -- mediation privilege.
- MS. MICHAEL: -- like Ms. Potzler to
- 4 answer my question, please.
- 5 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 6 Q Is it your position that you cannot answer my
- 7 question regarding the composition or authority of that
- 8 committee on the basis of attorney-client privilege?
- 9 A I'm going to go with the basis of mediation
- 10 privilege.
- 11 Q Okay. So it is your position that, on the
- 12 basis of mediation privilege, you cannot discuss the
- 13 composition or authority of the committee that Ms.
- 14 Musialowski and Mr. Suchan sit on?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 O How often does that committee meet?
- 17 A I don't know. I'm not on it.
- 18 Q Uh-huh. Approximately how many hours per
- 19 month do those committee members spend doing work for
- 20 that committee?
- 21 A That would be pure speculation on my part. I
- 22 have no idea.
- 23 Q Is Ms. Musialowski involved in reviewing,
- 24 preparing, or producing documents?
- 25 A Financial documents?

- 1 Q Sorry.
- 2 A Financial documents?
- 3 Q Go ahead.
- 4 A Financial documents, yes, she would be
- 5 involved in preparing those.
- 6 Q Is it your belief that Ms. Musialowski would
- 7 not be able to undertake both the tasks needed to
- 8 administer the abuse actions to which the Diocese is not
- 9 a party, and the tasks related to the Diocese
- 10 restructuring efforts?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 O And what is the basis of that belief?
- 13 A I see the -- the time commitments that are
- 14 involved already. There's -- I don't see how we could
- 15 possibly add individual lawsuits on top of what anyone
- 16 at the Diocese is doing, because we are stretched so
- 17 thin right now.
- 18 Q Have you ever discussed this with her?
- 19 A No. Not this specific issue, no.
- 20 O Moving to Mr. Scholl Mr. Scholl maintain
- 21 timesheets or otherwise record his time?
- 22 A I have no idea.
- 23 Q Have you spoken to Mr. Scholl regarding his
- 24 role in administering the abuse actions prior to the
- 25 bankruptcy?

- 1 A No, I have not.
- 2 Q And has Mr. Scholl told you how much time he
- 3 will have to spend administering abuse actions to which
- 4 the Diocese is not a party, if those actions are
- 5 permitted to go forward?
- 6 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. You can
- 7 answer, if you know.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 9 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 10 Q And has Mr. Scholl told you how much time he
- 11 would spend?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q And why would Mr. Scholl -- what would Mr.
- 14 Scholl's responsibilities regarding abuse actions to
- 15 which the Diocese is not a party be, if the actions are
- 16 permitted to go forward?
- 17 A Well, he's responsible for the indemnification
- 18 of the parishes in any type of action. So he would be
- 19 involved in every one of them.
- 20 Q And what do you mean when you say he's
- 21 responsible for the indemnification of the parishes?
- 22 A He runs our self-insurance program.
- 23 Q And what indemnification obligations does the
- 24 Diocese have to the parishes?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection, to the extent it

- 1 calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer, if you
- 2 know.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. You
- 4 would have to ask Mr. Scholl that.
- 5 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 6 Q And what role does Mr. Scholl currently have,
- 7 with respect to the Diocese restructuring efforts?
- 8 A Again, I'm not sure at this point what role he
- 9 has. He would be brought in, obviously, if there were
- 10 individual actions against the parishes. At this point,
- 11 I don't know what role he's playing.
- 12 Q Is Mr. Scholl involved in the mediations in
- 13 the Chapter 11 case?
- 14 A He's not physically present at them. I don't
- 15 know if he has other roles to play behind the scenes
- 16 that I don't know about.
- 17 O Is it your belief that Mr. Scholl would not be
- 18 able to undertake both the tasks needed to administer
- 19 the abuse actions to which the Diocese is not a party,
- 20 and the tasks he's required to do related to the Diocese
- 21 restructuring efforts?
- 22 A I would have to speculate, so I -- I don't
- 23 think he would be able to do both, but I don't have a
- 24 basis for that, so that's speculation on my part.
- Q Other than the individuals we've already

- 1 discussed, are there any other personnel that would be
- 2 responsible for administering abuse actions to which the
- 3 Diocese is not a party?
- 4 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to the form.
- 5 You can answer.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I -- I mean, there are, you
- 7 know, clerical roles and secretarial roles and support
- 8 staff, which would all be heavily involved to some
- 9 extent.
- 10 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 11 O And how would they be involved?
- 12 A Doing their support staff, their -- their --
- 13 you know, if we need something copied, if we need
- 14 something -- a letter written, if we need -- you know,
- 15 what support staff does.
- 16 Q And approximately how many hours per month do
- 17 you believe those support staff would have to dedicate
- 18 to those tasks?
- 19 A That all depends on what happens with the
- 20 cases, how far -- I -- I wouldn't know specifically.
- 21 Q And are any of those support staff also
- 22 involved in the Diocese reorganization efforts?
- 23 A To the extent of typing letters, keeping
- 24 minutes, things like that, yes.
- 25 Q Approximately how many hours per week do they

- 1 spend on those reorganization tasks?
- 2 A Depends on the week. It depends on the issue
- 3 that's come up. I couldn't qualify or quantify that.
- 4 Q In what context do those support staff take
- 5 minutes?
- 6 A If we have a -- there are certain meetings
- 7 where the bishop's secretary will go in and take
- 8 minutes. I'm not sure which ones. I just -- I've seen
- 9 her do it.
- 10 Q And those are meetings related to the
- 11 reorganization?
- 12 A I don't know. I -- I said I'm not sure which
- 13 one.
- 14 MS. MICHAEL: I'm at a good breaking
- 15 point. I can keep going, but I'm mindful that you all
- 16 are a hour ahead of me. I don't know if you want to
- 17 take a break to have some lunch or we -- you want to
- 18 keep going. Just let me know.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Do you have a sense of how
- 20 much time you have for additional questions?
- MS. MICHAEL: I'm about halfway through
- 22 my outline, if that --
- 23 (Witness and Counsel confer.)
- MR. SULLIVAN: I wouldn't mind a quick
- 25 break. As long as it's not too long. You know, maybe

- 1 just enough to grab some food, because you're right,
- 2 it's 12:43.
- 3 MS. MICHAEL: So how long are you
- 4 proposing?
- 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Would half an hour be
- 6 possible?
- 7 MS. MICHAEL: Yes, that works.
- 8 MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. So we'll come back
- 9 at 1:15 our time.
- MS. MICHAEL: Yes.
- 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Great. Thank you.
- 12 THE REPORTER: Okay. The time is now
- 13 12:43 p.m., Eastern, and we're off the record.
- 14 (Off the record.)
- THE REPORTER: The time is now 1:19 p.m.,
- 16 Eastern, and we're back on the record.
- You may proceed.
- MS. MICHAEL: Thank you.
- 19 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- Q Ms. Potzler, did you have any discussions with
- 21 your counsel while we were on break?
- 22 A Just about lunch.
- 23 Q Did you guys eat something good?
- 24 A Yes, we split a turkey wrap. It was very
- 25 good.

- 1 Q All right. So, Ms. Potzler, turning back to
- 2 your declaration, I am going to direct the screen to
- 3 paragraph 12. Can you see paragraph 12 in front of you
- 4 now?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Is it your understanding that if abuse actions
- 7 to which the Diocese is not a party are allowed to
- 8 proceed, Diocese personnel would likely be deposed?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Which personnel do you believe would be
- 11 deposed?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm just
- 15 assuming that possibly the bishop, the vicar general,
- 16 Rich Suchan is the chief operating officer.
- 17 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 18 Q Why do you think they would be deposed?
- 19 A The vicar general, because, as I said
- 20 previously, he's taken over some of the roles of
- 21 Auxiliary Bishop Grosz. Rich Suchan, because he has
- 22 been so heavily involved in all aspects of these issues.
- 23 And the bishop, as a member of the board of each parish.
- 24 Q And do you understand at what stage in abuse
- 25 actions these diocesan witnesses are likely to be

- 1 deposed?
- 2 A I wouldn't have any idea. I don't know.
- 4 been set for trial?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And is it your understanding that these same
- 7 individuals would likely be at trial witnesses?
- 8 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- 9 You can answer, if you know.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know
- 11 how that would play out.
- 12 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 13 Q Are there any other diocesan personnel that
- 14 you believe may be deposed in abuse actions?
- 15 A I would think John Scholl may be. Possibly
- our chief financial officer; probably not, but possibly.
- 17 I'm sure there are others, I just -- I wouldn't know who
- 18 else.
- 19 Q And why do you think Mr. Scholl would be
- 20 deposed?
- 21 A For the insurance -- self-insurance program
- 22 and the indemnification that may occur.
- 23 Q And why do you think the chief financial
- officer would be deposed?
- 25 A Just because she's heavily involved with the

- 1 insurance program as well, so I'm just guessing that
- 2 they might need to speak with her.
- 3 Q And in what context would the insurance
- 4 program come up in the abuse action?
- 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 6 You can answer.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I'm assuming it would come
- 8 up based on the -- the fact that we're a self-insured
- 9 program and that we indemnify the individual parishes
- 10 against legal actions.
- 11 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 12 Q And when you say you indemnify the parishes,
- is there a contract that requires the Diocese to
- 14 indemnify the parishes?
- 15 A That would be --
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Calls for legal
- 17 conclusion.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 20 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 21 Q Have you ever seen a contract that would
- 22 require the Diocese to indemnify the parishes?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q In your experience, would someone in your role
- 25 typically have seen a contract that obligates the

- 1 Diocese to indemnify another party?
- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Calls for
- 3 speculation.
- 4 You can answer if you know.
- 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know
- 6 if every other Diocese has in-house counsel, so I don't
- 7 know how it works. I've only been in this position a
- 8 year, so.
- 9 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 11 obligate the Diocese in relationships with other
- 12 entities?
- 13 A I do, frequently. Not all the time. And
- 14 those are more likely new contracts that we are dealing
- 15 with that I would review.
- 16 Q So, as part of your role, did you ever review
- 17 legacy contracts regarding the Diocese legal
- 18 obligations?
- 19 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Based on the
- 20 question calls for attorney-client privilege. I
- 21 instruct not to answer. If you can do so without
- 22 divulging such information, you can answer.
- 23 THE WITNESS: As I stated in the past, I
- 24 have reviewed lease contracts. So, yes, to that extent.
- 25 And then I've also -- I'm sorry. I've also reviewed

- 1 contracts with vendors that we are currently employing.
- 2 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 3 Q And going back to what we were discussing
- 4 before, if Mr. Scholl or the CFO were to testify in
- 5 abuse actions, you believe this would be due to their
- 6 knowledge of the insurance program, correct?
- 7 A Yeah, that's what I assume. I don't know that
- 8 for a fact. That's -- you know, there may be other
- 9 issues that they would have to testify regarding.
- 10 Q And what other issues might those be?
- 11 A I just said there may be. I don't know.
- 12 Q Moving to paragraph 13. Can you now see
- 13 paragraph 13 --
- 14 A Yeah.
- 15 Q -- at least the beginning of it?
- 16 A Well, I could for a minute. Hold on.
- 17 MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah. Could we give the
- 18 moment -- the witness a moment to read this paragraph?
- MS. MICHAEL: Sure.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Let me know when you're
- 21 ready for this half.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. I'm ready.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Thank you.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. Ready to proceed.
- 25 BY MS. MICHAEL:

- 1 Q In paragraph 13 of your declaration, you refer
- 2 to the risk of collateral estoppel. What is your basis
- 3 -- what is the basis for your understanding of the risk
- 4 of collateral estoppel?
- 5 A If there are factual issues that are
- 6 adjudicated during one of the state court actions, that
- 7 could have consequences regarding the Diocese itself.
- 8 Whether or not it's -- whether or not there was
- 9 knowledge, whether or not something is covered by
- 10 insurance, there are various issues that could be
- 11 factually asserted that would have a negative effect on
- 12 the Diocesan cases.
- 13 Q And what is the basis of your understanding of
- 14 the risk of adverse precedent?
- 15 A Well, if we have a ruling in one of the state
- 16 court cases, it's going to naturally affect any future
- 17 ruling on the same issues in the bankruptcy case.
- 18 Q In what way would it affect the same issue in
- 19 the bankruptcy case?
- 20 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Calls for a
- 21 conclusion.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I mean,
- 24 that's -- that would be speculating on my part.
- 25 BY MS. MICHAEL:

- 1 Q And what is the basis for your understanding
- 2 of the risk of vicarious liability?
- 3 A If we -- if in the state court actions, they
- 4 find that the -- the Diocese was also at fault, then we
- 5 would wind up vicariously liable for the actions in the
- 6 individual state court actions.
- 7 Q And what is your basis for your understanding
- 8 of the -- what is the basis for your understanding of
- 9 the risk of imputed admissions?
- 10 A Once again, if something is admitted, as far
- 11 as a state court case, and it can be transferred on, for
- 12 lack of a better word, to the diocesan cases.
- 13 Q And can you please explain how the Diocese can
- 14 have collateral estoppel risk from an action to which it
- 15 is not a party?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Calls for legal
- 17 conclusion.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that.
- 20 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 21 Q And can you please explain how the Diocese can
- 22 have adverse precedent risk from an action to which it
- 23 is not a party?
- 24 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Calls for legal
- 25 conclusion.

- 1 You can answer, if you know.
- THE WITNESS: Nope. Can't answer that.
- 3 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 4 Q Can you please explain how the Diocese can
- 5 have vicarious liability risk from an action to which it
- 6 is not a party?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q And can you please explain how the Diocese can
- 9 have imputed admissions risk from an action to which it
- 10 is not a party?
- 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Calls for legal
- 12 conclusion.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 14 THE WITNESS: No.
- 15 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- Q Can you please explain how the bishop or other
- 17 diocesan employees testimony could have a direct impact
- on the liability or value of identical claims asserted
- in the Chapter 11 case?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -- could you
- 23 please restate that?
- 24 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 25 Q Sure. In your declaration, you state that the

- 1 bishop or other diocesan employees testimony could have
- 2 a direct impact on the viability and value of identical
- 3 claims asserted in the Chapter 11 case. Can you please
- 4 explain how?
- 5 A Well, if -- if someone testifies, they're --
- 6 they're -- you know, it's going to be on the record, so
- 7 that can be used in future cases against the Diocese.
- 8 Q How could it be used?
- 9 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Calls for legal
- 10 conclusion.
- 11 You can answer, if you know.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know if -- I
- 13 don't know how to answer that.
- 14 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 15 Q Except for the example of diocesan employees
- 16 testimony, are there any other examples of imputed
- 17 admissions, vicarious liability, adverse precedent, or
- 18 collateral estoppel that could be used against the
- 19 Diocese in the Chapter 11 case?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 23 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 24 Q Except for those related to insurance
- 25 coverage, are there any examples of adverse findings or

- 1 determinations that could result from the abuse actions?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- 3 You can answer, if you know.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I would be speculating, so
- 5 I don't know.
- 6 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 7 Q To your knowledge, has the Diocese ever been
- 8 found liable as a result of a state court judgment
- 9 against one of its parishes where the Diocese was not a
- 10 party to that action?
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q Are you aware of any instances in which
- 13 collateral estoppel or res judicata has been applied to
- 14 the Diocese when it was not a party to the original
- 15 action?
- 16 A I'm not aware of any.
- 17 Q All right. Moving to paragraph 16. In
- 18 paragraph 16 --
- MR. SHEEHAN: Sorry, could we take a
- 20 moment and allow the witness to read this paragraph,
- 21 please?
- 22 MS. MICHAEL: Sure. I'm going to ask
- 23 specific questions, not about the whole paragraph, but I
- 24 was going to ask questions about the sentences. But if
- 25 you'd like to read the whole paragraph first, that's

- 1 fine.
- 2 MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, if we could, just
- 3 briefly.
- 4 MR. SULLIVAN: Take your time.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm ready.
- 6 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 7 Q At the beginning of the paragraph, you state
- 8 that the parishes understand their contributions are
- 9 earmarked for, "(i) the purchase of policies of
- 10 insurance covering the Diocese and the Related Entities
- 11 as co-insured and (ii) the payment of any defense costs
- 12 and claims for losses that are subject to a deductible
- or self-insured retention before coverage under the
- 14 shared insurance policies becomes available."
- What is your basis for that statement?
- 16 A Well, I -- that's what I was told when I asked
- 17 how our -- the self-insured policy works, that's what
- 18 was told to me.
- 19 O And who told you that?
- 20 A Actually, I asked that a long time ago, so I
- 21 don't remember my original basis for that knowledge.
- 22 But in context of this, John Scholl has told me that.
- 23 Q And earmarked can be a legal expression. Is
- 24 that how you were using it here?
- 25 A No, I -- I wouldn't say it was used as a legal

- 1 expression here.
- Q What does the term earmarked mean to you?
- 3 A That it is set aside specifically for those
- 4 purposes and only those purposes.
- 5 Q Are there any documents that support the
- 6 parish's understanding that you describe in the
- 7 statement?
- 8 A I wouldn't be privy to that.
- 9 Q Do you understand that the parish's will --
- 10 the parish's contribution will be sufficient to cover
- 11 the premiums needed to purchase the policies and payment
- of defense and losses not covered by insurance?
- 13 A I wouldn't know that.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- You can answer.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I don't know.
- 17 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 18 Q Are there any contracts between the Diocese
- 19 and any related entities regarding the use of their
- 20 contributions?
- 21 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Calls for legal
- 22 conclusion.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 25 BY MS. MICHAEL:

- 1 Q In the statement, you say, "some of the
- 2 policies have self-insured retentions and deductibles."
- 3 Which policies are those?
- 4 A I don't know. That's what I was told.
- 5 Q And who told you that?
- 6 A That's been a while. I -- probably John
- 7 Scholl, but I'm not sure.
- 8 Q And how much are the self-insured retentions?
- 9 A I don't know.
- 10 Q And how much are the deductibles?
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q Are there any aggregates?
- 13 A That's well beyond my scope of employment.
- 14 Q Turning to paragraph 19. I'll pause so you
- 15 can read.
- MR. SHEEHAN: I'm so sorry. Which
- 17 paragraph?
- MS. MICHAEL: Nineteen.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Take a moment to
- 20 read.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 22 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 23 Q You say, "reserves (sic) will be quickly
- 24 dissipated if the preliminary injunction isn't granted."
- How quickly?

- 1 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I don't, and it depends on
- 4 which cases go forward and at what point, so I -- I
- 5 wouldn't be able to answer about that.
- 6 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 7 Q If the cases that do not name the Diocese as a
- 8 defendant and do not implicate the Catholic Mutual and
- 9 National Catholic --
- 10 MS. MICHAEL: -- Iain, if you want to
- 11 jump in here with the full name of that --
- 12 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 13 Q -- insurance policies are the ones that go
- 14 forward, then how quickly will those reserves be
- 15 dissipated?
- 16 A Again, that's --
- 17 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- 18 You can answer, if you know.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know. That's
- 20 beyond my scope of employment.
- 21 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 22 Q So for paragraph 19, are your statements here
- 23 just based on what you've been told by Mr. Scholl and
- 24 others?
- 25 A Not entirely, because I know how much money we

- 1 have in there, and I know how much defense costs would
- 2 be, roughly. So it's pretty self-explanatory.
- 3 Q How much would defense costs be?
- 4 A I meant in the aggregate, what they would be.
- 5 Defending a matter such as this would be much worse than
- 6 defending a matter like a -- a personal injury matter,
- 7 which costs us roughly 12 grand per case for defense
- 8 costs.
- 9 Q And what is your basis for your conclusion
- 10 that abuse actions would be greater defense costs than
- 11 the ones you're comparing them to?
- 12 A Well, these are not, you know, typical slip
- 13 and falls, where it's routine, mundane, run of the mill
- 14 type of action. This is much more detailed -- much more
- 15 detailed and much more time consuming. Attorneys fees
- 16 would be much greater.
- 17 Q What aspects of it make it more detailed?
- 18 A All of --
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- You can answer.
- 21 THE WITNESS: All of the -- the different
- 22 players involved. All of the different considerations
- 23 that have to be made. All of the different people that
- 24 may be called to testify.
- 25 BY MS. MICHAEL:

- 1 Q Who are the different players involved?
- 2 A Well, it depends --
- 3 Q As opposed to --
- 4 A That depends on the case.
- 5 Q And who are the different -- what are the
- 6 different details involved as opposed to a slip and
- 7 fall?
- 8 A Well, a slip and fall is pretty run of the
- 9 mill. These are, you know, actions that affected
- 10 several people. It's not just one claimant. It's --
- 11 that's hard to state. But it's -- obviously the legal
- 12 issues are much more in detail. The coverage issues are
- 13 much more detailed.
- 14 Q What aspects of the legal issues make them
- 15 much more detailed?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Form.
- 17 You can answer.
- 18 THE WITNESS: That would call for
- 19 speculation on my part.
- 20 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 21 Q What aspects of the insurance make it much
- 22 more detailed?
- 23 A The --
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- You can answer.

- THE WITNESS: The fact that we're self-
- 2 insured. There are questions about coverage, that type
- 3 of thing.
- 4 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 5 Q Is the coverage different? Are you self-
- 6 insured for slip and falls?
- 7 A That's beyond my scope of employment.
- 8 Q Okay. And have you ever -- do you have any
- 9 experience in defending abuse actions?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q And going back to paragraph 16. I'll bring
- 12 that -- is paragraph 16 based on your personal knowledge
- or knowledge -- or purely information you were told?
- 14 MR. SHEEHAN: You need to read the
- 15 paragraph again?
- 16 THE WITNESS: No. It -- I mean, it
- 17 depends on what aspect you're talking about.
- 18 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 19 Q Which aspects of paragraph 16 are based on
- 20 your personal knowledge?
- 21 A Well, I -- as I said before, I talked about
- 22 this months ago, before this ever came up. So some of
- 23 it's based on my personal knowledge. The 10.9 million
- 24 is based on my personal knowledge. Let's see. And then
- 25 just conversations I've had in the past about how the

- 1 self-insurance program works. I'm looking. So, you
- 2 know, some of it is conversations that I've had, you
- 3 know, a year ago when I was starting the job and
- 4 learning. So I don't know specifically. The 10.9
- 5 million figure itself, I -- I asked specifically the
- 6 chief financial officer for that number. So that was
- 7 told to me by her. Otherwise, it's just stuff I've
- 8 accumulated over the past year.
- 9 MS. MICHAEL: And for the record, and I
- 10 apologize again, this is a new platform for me. Who
- 11 added the underline to the sentence with the 10.9
- 12 million?
- THE WITNESS: No idea.
- MR. SHEEHAN: It was not us.
- MR. KRELL: I did it by accident. I
- 16 didn't know everyone could see that. I was just
- 17 scrolling the document. I don't know how to undo it.
- MS. MICHAEL: Okay. I just -- I was
- 19 curious where it came from.
- 20 MR. KRELL: It was an accident. Don't
- 21 know how I did it. My apologies. And I don't know how
- 22 to undo it.
- MS. MICHAEL: That's fine.
- 24 All right. I'm going to turn now to the
- 25 Diocese's responses to the committee's first set of

- 1 interrogatories. I'd like to mark this as Exhibit 2.
- 2 (Exhibit 2 marked for identification.)
- 3 MS. MICHAEL: Thank you.
- 4 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 5 Q Ms. Potzler, did you review the Diocese's
- 6 responses to the committee's first set of
- 7 interrogatories in conjunction with the supplementary
- 8 injunction motion?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And turning to page 16, Ms. Potzler, is that
- 11 your signature --
- 12 A Yes.
- 14 document?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Ms. Potzler, are you prepared to testify
- 17 regarding the discovery responses?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 MR. SHEEHAN: Just to clarify for the
- 20 record, it's regarding accuracy according to the best of
- 21 her knowledge, information and belief for the document.
- MS. MICHAEL: Understood.
- 23 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 24 Q Ms. Potzler, were you involved in the
- 25 preparation of the responses?

- 1 A No. Not specifically, no.
- 2 Q What was your involvement, if at all?
- 3 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to the extent
- 4 answering would call for attorney client-privilege. I
- 5 instruct her not to answer.
- If you can answer without divulging that
- 7 information, you can do so.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't answer that.
- 9 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 10 Q Okay. Did you review this document prior to
- 11 signing it?
- 12 A I did.
- Q Did you provide any comments on this document
- 14 prior to signing it?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to the extent
- 16 answering the question would call for attorney-client
- 17 privilege.
- I instruct you not to answer. If you can
- 19 do so without divulging that information, you can do so.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that.
- 21 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- Q Who else was involved in preparing this
- 23 document?
- 24 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to the extent
- 25 answering the question would call for attorney-client

- 1 privilege.
- I instruct you not to answer. If you can
- 3 do so without divulging that information, you can do so.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that.
- 5 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 6 Q So to clarify for the record, you cannot
- 7 describe who was involved in preparing the Diocese
- 8 discovery responses on the basis of attorney-client
- 9 privilege?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Turning to Interrogatory No. 9. I'll give you
- 12 a moment to review the interrogatory and the response.
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 Q In the interrogatory response, it refers to
- 15 the -- it, "refers the Committee to the complaints filed
- in the Abuse Actions and the proofs of claim filed by
- 17 Abuse Claimants, all or most of which assert common
- 18 questions of law and fact against the Diocese and the
- 19 Related Entities, as well as to proofs of claims" --
- 20 "proofs of claim filed by the Related Entities."
- 21 Can you please describe how those documents
- 22 support your contention that the Diocese risks
- 23 indemnification liability if the abuse actions are
- 24 prosecuted?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.

- 1 You can answer, if you know.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know, and I'm not
- 3 exactly sure what documents you're referring to.
- 4 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 5 Q The documents that you referred to in the
- 6 response. You say, "the complaints filed in the abuse
- 7 actions and the proofs of claim filed by abuse
- 8 claimants. As well as the proofs of claim filed by
- 9 Related Entities."
- 10 A I'm sorry. There -- there are similar issues
- 11 of fact regarding the knowledge, regarding coverage, in
- 12 all of these cases.
- 13 Q And how do those similar issues of fact create
- 14 a risk of indemnification liability for the Diocese?
- 15 A Well, if in the state court action, you know,
- 16 we are found liable, then it's going to flow over to the
- 17 Diocese for indemnification for the parishes.
- 18 Q Are there any other facts that support the
- 19 Diocese potential risk of indemnification liability?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- You can answer.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know at this point.
- 23 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 24 Q Are there any other documents that support the
- 25 alleged risk of indemnification liability?

```
Α
               I --
 1
 2
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
                    You can answer.
 3
                    THE WITNESS: I don't know.
 4
 5
                    MS. MICHAEL: Turning now to
     Interrogatory No. 11. I will pause and give you a
 6
 7
     moment to read the interrogatory and response.
 8
                    THE WITNESS: Okay.
 9
     BY MS. MICHAEL:
10
          Q
               This interrogatory response similarly refers
11
     the committee to the complaints and proofs of claim as
     support for the assertion, "that the Diocese risks res
12
13
     judicata based on decisions in the Abuse Actions to
14
     which the Diocese is not a party."
15
               How do those documents support that
16
     contention?
17
          Α
               If --
18
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
19
                    You can answer.
20
                    THE WITNESS: In the state court actions,
21
     if there's a finding of law, that would be adverse to
22
     our diocesan cases because so many of the complaints
23
     filed in state court and the proofs of claims raise the
     same issues of law. There's a real risk that it would
24
```

be detrimental to the Diocese and to reaching a

- 1 satisfactory conclusion in the reorganization.
- 2 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 3 Q Are there any other facts that support that
- 4 contention?
- 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection. Form.
- 6 You can answer.
- 7 THE WITNESS: At this point, no.
- 8 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 9 Q Are there any --
- 10 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 11 Q Are there any other documents that support
- 12 that contention?
- 13 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 14 Q Turning now to Interrogatory Number 12. I'll
- 15 give you a moment to read the interrogatory and
- 16 response.
- 17 A Okay.
- 18 Q The -- this interrogatory also, "refers the
- 19 Committee to the complaints and proofs of claim as
- 20 support for the assertion that the Diocese risks a
- 21 finding of issue preclusion based on the decisions and
- 22 the Abuse Actions to which the Diocese is not a party."
- 23 How do those documents support that
- 24 contention?
- 25 A In the same way as I answered previously, if

- 1 there is a finding in the state court, it's obviously
- 2 going to affect the diocesan case.
- 3 Q Are there any other facts that support that
- 4 contention?
- 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- 6 You can answer.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 8 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 9 Q Are there any other documents that support
- 10 that contention?
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q The motion discusses piecemeal litigation and
- 13 inconsistent judgments. Your declaration does not
- 14 mention piecemeal litigation or inconsistent judgments.
- 15 Are those issues that you have knowledge about?
- 16 A To an extent, yes.
- 17 Q Can you explain the meaning of piecemeal
- 18 litigation as that term is used in the motion?
- 19 A I am not the one that wrote that, but if we
- 20 have a ruling in one court that is directly opposed to a
- 21 ruling in a separate court, you know, obviously there's
- 22 going to be a problem with the competing rulings.
- 23 Q And what would the problem be?
- 24 A When it comes to the diocesan case we're going
- 25 to have to show, well, this court held this way, this

- 1 court held this way, now we have to settle the answer
- 2 all over again. We're duplicating our efforts; that
- 3 type of thing.
- 4 Q Can you explain how the abuse actions that do
- 5 not name the Diocese and only seek a judgment based on
- 6 the acts and omissions of non-debtors involve common
- 7 questions of law and fact with claims against the
- 8 Diocese based on its own acts and omissions?
- 9 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 10 You can answer, if you know.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 12 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- Q Can you identify what common questions of law
- 14 are implicated in both the abuse actions and the proofs
- 15 of claim in the bankruptcy?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 17 You can answer, if you know.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Not at this point in time.
- 19 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 20 Q Was there a point in time when you could
- 21 identify those?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Can you identify what common questions of fact
- 24 are implicated in the proofs of claim and the abuse
- 25 actions?

- 1 A To the extent that there are questions of
- 2 indemnification, there are questions of knowledge on the
- 3 part of the Diocese and the parishes themselves. There
- 4 are questions as to when something was reported and who
- 5 know -- who knew what at what point. Those types of
- 6 issues.
- 7 Q Can you clarify what you mean when you say
- 8 questions of indemnification?
- 9 A With the self-insurance program. We would
- 10 have to -- the Diocese would have to use that to
- indemnify the parishes against any possible outcomes.
- 12 And then there is also the risk that insurance companies
- 13 could start disclaiming claims.
- 14 Q At what stage in an abuse action would
- 15 questions about indemnification arise?
- 16 A That's not my field of law. I don't know.
- 17 Q You mentioned common questions of fact about
- 18 who knew what. Who's the who you're referring to there?
- 19 A Whether or not --
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- MR. SHEEHAN: You can answer.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I meant whether or not the
- 24 Diocese was aware of the abuse. Whether the parish
- 25 itself was aware of the abuse.

- 1 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 2 Q Would those be the same question? Whether the
- 3 Diocese knew or the parish knew?
- 4 A Well, if the -- that brings up the question of
- 5 coverage and indemnification again, so.
- 6 0 How?
- 7 A If the Diocese was aware and did nothing, then
- 8 we'd be liable. And if the parish did not report to the
- 9 Diocese, then there's a problem with coverage.
- 10 Q You said then you'd be liable, but not in an
- 11 action in which the Diocese is not a named party,
- 12 correct?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 14 You can answer.
- THE WITNESS: I meant as far as
- 16 indemnification.
- 17 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 18 Q Beyond indemnification questions in the state
- 19 court actions, if the Diocese is not a party, is there a
- 20 common question of fact regarding who knew what?
- 21 MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I'm just assuming again.
- 24 But, yeah, there would be common questions in all of the
- 25 cases.

- 1 MR. SHEEHAN: That's her question. A
- 2 common question with what?
- MS. MICHAEL: I'm sorry, can you repeat
- 4 your question?
- 5 MR. SHEEHAN: I was trying to clarify
- 6 your prior question. Yes, there are common questions in
- 7 the actions in which Diocese is not named. And I was
- 8 asking, a common question with what? Meaning with this
- 9 statement or claim -- by that claim -- the question was
- 10 not clear, so --
- 11 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 12 Q My question, as written in this speech-to-text
- 13 function, was, is there a common question of fact
- 14 regarding who knew what?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- You can answer, if you know.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 18 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 19 O If the -- if one parish is found liable to
- 20 Plaintiff A for a large sum and a defense verdict is
- 21 entered for Plaintiff B, each arising from separate
- 22 instances of abuse, do you view those as inconsistent
- 23 judgments?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection.
- 25 You can answer, if you know.

- 1 THE WITNESS: No, I can't answer that.
- 2 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 4 different conclusions based on different facts in cases?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Do you agree that the acts of abuse are not
- 7 uniform across all 800 plus cases?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q To the extent there is piecemeal litigation
- 10 against non-debtor parties, what is the burden that
- 11 creates on the bankruptcy estate?
- 12 A We would have to defend. So there'd be the
- 13 indemnification. We have to pay the defense costs. The
- 14 further legal costs are depleting the reserves that we
- 15 have to do a just and equitable distribution to the
- 16 actual complainants.
- 17 Q I understand those are the issues you've
- 18 raised about the litigation going forward, generally.
- 19 My specific question is, to the extent there's piecemeal
- 20 litigation, the example you gave earlier of one court
- 21 ruling one thing and another court ruling another, what
- is the impact of that on the bankruptcy estate?
- MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
- You can answer, if you know.
- THE WITNESS: Then the issue would have

- 1 to be re-adjudicated if we wind up -- you know, in our
- 2 settlement options, if there's two disparaging rulings,
- 3 a conclusion would have to be made as to the correct one
- 4 in the bankruptcy settlement.
- 5 MS. MICHAEL: All right. I'd like to
- 6 take a brief, let's say 10-minute break, just to go over
- 7 my notes. I'm at the end of my planned questions. I'd
- 8 just like to go over my notes to see if there's any
- 9 cleanup questions I need to ask.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Sure.
- 11 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. You said a 10?
- 12 MS. MICHAEL: Yeah. Reconvene at 2:15
- 13 your time?
- MR. SULLIVAN: That sounds fine.
- 15 THE REPORTER: Okay. The time is now
- 16 2:02 p.m., Eastern, and we're off the record.
- 17 (Off the record.)
- THE REPORTER: The time is now 2:14 p.m.,
- 19 Eastern, and we're back on the record.
- You may proceed.
- MS. MICHAEL: Just a few final questions.
- 22 BY MS. MICHAEL:
- 23 Q Ms. Potzler, when you use the word
- 24 indemnification, do you mean the obligation of the self-
- 25 insured program to pay defense costs and liability of

- 1 any self-insured program participants, including the
- 2 Diocese?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q And have you reviewed the proofs of claim that
- 5 the parishes and other related entities filed in the
- 6 Chapter 11 bankruptcy?
- 7 A Not all 800 of them, but many of them, yes.
- 8 Q Just to clarify, the proofs of claim that were
- 9 filed by the parishes and related entities, not the
- 10 abused claimants?
- 11 A No, I have not.
- MS. MICHAEL: All right. That is all of
- 13 the questions that I have. We'd like to establish a
- 14 time for Ms. Potzler to review the transcript and sign
- it, after which we can use the unsigned version if we
- 16 have not received a signed version back. Does a week
- 17 from today work?
- 18 MR. SHEEHAN: I guess the question is,
- 19 when will we receive the transcript?
- THE REPORTER: So for the transcript, we
- 21 can try to get it to you as soon as possible. When are
- 22 you expecting it by?
- MS. MICHAEL: Tomorrow.
- 24 THE REPORTER: Ms. Michael, when are you
- 25 -- tomorrow?

- 1 MS. MICHAEL: Yes.
- THE REPORTER: Okay. I believe we can
- 3 get it to you by tomorrow. We'll have a rough draft
- 4 that you'll be able to download within a couple of
- 5 hours, but we'll try to get it to you as soon as
- 6 possible. I believe we can get it to you by tomorrow,
- 7 but not 100 percent. I can have the office reach out to
- 8 you with more information regarding that, but we will
- 9 definitely get it to you ASAP.
- 10 MS. MICHAEL: Sure. Just to clarify, our
- 11 discussions were whether we needed it today or if
- 12 tomorrow was okay, and we had said tomorrow was okay.
- 13 THE REPORTER: Okay. It won't be
- 14 available today. I know that. It most likely will be
- 15 available tomorrow.
- 16 (Witness and Counsel confer.)
- 17 MR. SHEEHAN: Brittany, I think that's
- 18 probably workable. You're suggesting next Wednesday?
- MS. MICHAEL: Yes.
- 20 MR. SHEEHAN: Yeah, I think we can do
- 21 that. As long as the transcript is available by the end
- 22 of the day tomorrow, that should be work.
- 23 MS. MICHAEL: We can -- if it's not
- 24 available, we can give an extra day. But I'm assuming
- 25 you're going to want this done before Thanksgiving

```
either way. But if you need that extra day, if the
 1
 2
     transcript takes an extra day, that's fine with us too.
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Sure. Understood. Thank
 3
 4
     you.
                    THE REPORTER: Okay. And the time is now
 5
     2:17 p.m., Eastern, and we're off the record.
 6
 7
               (Proceedings concluded at 2:17 p.m.)
 8
                    (Read and Sign requested.)
                             * * * * *
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                   CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC
 2
     State of Ohio
 3
                     )
     County of Summit )
 4
 5
          I hereby certify that on the 15th day of November
 6
 7
     2023, before me, a RON notary public for the State of
 8
     Ohio, MELISSA POTZLER, remotely appeared via
     videoconference, and prior to testifying, swore an oath,
 9
     to tell the truth.
10
11
12
          DATED this 15th day of November 2023.
13
14
                                      /s/
15
16
                         Sarah Schroeter
17
                         RON Notary Public, State of Ohio
                         Commission No.: 2020-RE-823171
18
19
                         Commission Expiration: 11/29/2025
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Jamie Godinez, hereby certify:
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5	before me at the time and place therein set forth;
6	That the proceedings were recorded by me and
7	thereafter formatted into a full, true, and correct
8	transcript of same;
9	I further certify that I am neither counsel
10	for nor related to any parties to said action, nor in
11	any way interested in the outcome thereof.
12	
13	DATED, this 15th day of November 2023.
14	^ _
15	Space (egg
16	
17	Jamie Godinez, CER-1260
18	Certified Electronic Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
2	
3	I do hereby certify that having been first
4	duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above
5	testimony on November 15, 2023.
6	
7	I further certify that the foregoing
8	transcript is a true and correct transcript of the
9	testimony given by me at the time and placed specified.
10	
11	
12	
13	MELISSA POTZLER
14	
15	Sworn to before me this day of, 20
16	
17	
18	
19	Notary Public
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Denos	ition	Date:	Wednesday	November 15, 2023
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	FROM/TO	REASON FOR CHANGE
		_		
Under	pena]	 Lties of	periury,	I declare that I have
				n and hereby affix my
				and correct, except as not
above		JIIAC BAIII	C ID CIUC	and correct, except as not
above	•			
			_	
MELIS	SA POT	CZLER .		Date

WORD	47:2	16	12	2:14
INDEX	65 <i>:6</i>	89:17,	115:13	98 4:6
	76:13	18	116:5	
< 1 >	87:19	96:11,	117:1	< A >
1 4:5	88:3, 19	12, 19	21028	a.m
20:22,	102:6	98:10	1:23	1:19
23, 25	111:6	18B 17:9	22 29:16	7:4
28: <i>25</i>		19		11:8, 11
1:15	11/29/2025	24:21	< 3 >	47:22
79: <i>9</i>	114:19	92:14	30(b)(4	A.W 2:16
1:19	11:00	93:22	6:6	ability
79:15	1:19			35:18
10 3:4	7:4	< 2 >	< 4 >	able
15: <i>6</i>	11:04	2 4:6	40 34:24	23:15,
16:23	11:8	98:1, 2	456 7: <i>6</i>	16
17:1, 7	11:05	2:02		32:24
18:19,	11:11	110:16	< 5 >	44:5
25 31:5	11:54	2:14	50 30:7	65:18
32:1	47:22	110:18	33:12	74:7
33: <i>23</i>	12 80:3	2:15		76:18,
34:12,	94:7	110:12	< 6 >	23 93:5
14	103:14	2:17	60 65:24	112:4
43:16	12:02	1:20		absent
110:11	47: <i>24</i>	113:6, 7	< 7 >	60:7
10.9	12:43	20 4:5	7 23:4,	absolute
96:23	79:2, 13	33:14	5, 24	50:1
97:4, 11	13	116:15	24:1	
100	84:12,	117:1	70	Absolutely
1:11	13 85:1	20-01016	62:19	24:17
112:7	13202	1:9	65: <i>25</i>	44:9
10100	2:5	20-10322	1.0.5	abuse
2:13	13th	1:4	< 8 >	25: <i>20</i>
10-minute	2:13	7:10	80 33:15	30:2, 9,
110:6	15 1:18	2019	800	13 32:6,
11 1:6,	34:24	24:7	109:7	14
11 7:6	116:5	25: <i>4</i>	111:7	36:12
15: <i>2</i>	117:1	2020-RE-	891	38:8, 17,
24:4	15th	823171	19:17, 22	22 39:1,
27:4, 23	7:3	114:18	< 9 >	7, 13, 16
28:1, 25	114:6,	2023	9 100:11	40:7
29:17	12	1:18	90067	42:21,
43: <i>25</i>	115:13	7:3	90007	23 44:6,
45:21		114:7,		17

45:11,	108:22	19:15,	74:8, 24	28:3
21 47:2	109:6	19, 22,	75:3, 4,	36:13,
48:8, 21	abused	24 20:5,	14, 15	15 62:8
49:13,	111:10	8, 11	76:10,	78:20
15	access	25:21	19 77:2	address
50:13	19:9	30:2, 9,	80:6, 25	43:19
51:7, 11,	30:16	13, 19	81:3, 14	addressed
14 53:9	35: <i>5</i> , 7,	32:6, 14	82:10	70:19
57:4, 8,	<i>15</i> 37:6	35:19	84:5	
12, 18,	accident	36:12	85 <i>:6</i>	addressing
25	16:1	37:11	86:3, 5,	31:11
58:13	97:15, 20	38:8, 17,	6 89:1	adjudicate
59:9, 17,	accumulate	22 39:1,	94:10	d 85:6
24 60:5,	d 97:8	3, 7, 13,	95: <i>9</i>	
13	accuracy	17 40:7,	96:9	administer
61:17,	98:13, 20	10	100:16,	65:18
23 62:1,	accurate	42:21,	23	74:8
24	6:9	23 44:6,	101:7	76:18
63:18	accusers	17	102:13,	administer
65:19	63:13	45:11,	20	ed 6:8
66:6, 18,	Act	21 47:2	103:22	administer
<i>25</i> 67:4,	17:25	48:8, 15,	105:4,	ing
9 74:8,	action	21	14, 25	30:2
24 75:3,	6:5	49:13	107:19	38:8, 17,
14	40:13	50:13	108:7	22 39:1
76:19	49:15	57:4, 8,		57:4, 8,
77:2	51:7, 11,	12, 13,	activities	12
80:6, 24	14	18, 25	72:8	61:17,
81:3, 14	60:22	58:13	acts	22 62:1
82:4	75:18	59:10,	105:6, 8	66:18,
84:5	82:4	17, 24	109:6	<i>25</i> 67:4,
89:1	86:14,	60:5, 13,	actual	9 74:24
94:10	22 87:5,	15	18:19	75:3
96:9	9 89:10,	61:17,	109:16	77:2
100:16,	15	23 62:1,	add	admission
17, 23	94:14	2, 24	74:15	9:6
101:6, 7	101:15	63:18,	added	
102:13	106:14	19	97:11	admissions
103:22	107:11	64:17	addition	86:9
105:4,	115:10	65:19	36:2	87:9
14, 24	actions	66:7, 18,		88:17
106:14,	17:23	<i>25</i> 67:5,	additional	admitted
24, 25	18:12	6, 9, 10	26:4	

			1	1
86:10	agrees		68:6, 7,	
Adv 1:9	6:16	2 25:23	9 69:14,	
adverse	ahead	27:10,	1	
85:14	11:7	19 28:6,		
86:22	17:6	11, 12		
88:17,	21:1		6 75:7	
25	39:22	30:5, 25	76:1	22:23
102:21	74:3	31:1, 2	77:5	28:9
advice	78: <i>16</i>	32:24	80:13	32:22
53:21	AL 1:11	33:20	81:9	38:11
59:20	alleged	36:7, <i>25</i>	82:6, 18	51:24
60:4	101:25	37:25	83:4, 21,	55:10
affect	allotted	38:12,	22	56 : 5
31:17	12:18	14	85:22	59:3
85: <i>16</i> ,	allow	39:22	86:18,	64:12
18 104:2	89:20	40:19	19 87:1,	68:5
affirm	allowed	42:13,	2, 13, 21	69:7
10:2	13:24	14, 25	88:11,	99:4, 16,
affix	30:16	44:8	13, 21	25
117:1	35: <i>5</i>	45:6, 15,	89:3	
afield	62: <i>25</i>	17, 24	91:15,	anticipate
41:20	80:7	46:14	23 93:2,	31:6
aggregate	amount	48:9, 16	5, 18	Apologies
94:4	26:17	49:1, 24	94:20	10:22
	27:8, 12	50:14	95:17,	11:19
aggregates	30:15	51:5, 15,	25 99:5,	
92:1 <i>2</i>	31:11,	<i>25</i> 52:1,	6, 8, 18,	24:23
ago	22 40:4	5, 12, 16	20	26:4
28:3	66:3	55:11	100:2, 4	29:18
90:20	71:25	56: <i>6</i> , <i>8</i> ,	101:1,	34:13
96:22	amplify	19	21	61:12
97:3	46:20	57:20	102:3,	97:21
agree	and/or	58:15	19	apologize
6:10	9:5	59: <i>5</i> , <i>6</i> ,	103:6	97:10
9:3, 5	Angeles	8, 15	104:6	appear
71:7	2:14	60:2, 25	105:1,	12:12
109:3, 6	answer	61:2	10, 17	
AGREED	10:23	62:5	106:22	appearance
5:3, 6,	11:23	63:1, 20	107:14,	7:21
9, 12	19:4, 5	64:13,	22	8:14
6:25	20:1	14, 16,	108:16,	11:14
agreement	21:22	23 66:8	25	appeared
37:11	22:9, 13,	67:12	109:1, 24	114:8

Appearing	90:16,	63 <i>:23</i>	73:8	54:13,
2:10, 19	<i>20</i> 97:5	80:15	83:20	14 55:3
applied	asking	82:7	99:16,	89:12,
89:13	36:14	107:23	25 100:8	16
appointmen	37:21	112:24	attorneys	103:10,
ts 47:10	41:17,	attending	5: <i>4</i>	13
	19 47:1	33:17	6:4	106:24,
appreciate	60:1, 3,	48:14	8:6, 16	25 107:7
46:22	4 70:22	attorney	16:20	
apprised	71:5, 17	6:13, 16	94:15	< B >
54:13	108: <i>8</i>	7:15		
55:1	aspect	11:15	Attorney's	bachelor's
appropriat	96:17	17:8	17:10, 12	14:13, 16
e 5:15	aspects	21:20	audio	back
approve	80: <i>22</i>	27:13,	6:15	11:12
46:1	94:17	23	9:4	16:22
approximat	95:14,	28:16,	10:22	47:25
ely 7:4	21 96:19	18, 21	11:1	48:6
19:17	assert	30:24	audiovisua	70:22
33:10	22:7	39:9	1 9:5	79:8, 16
34:8	70:2	56:12	audit	80:1
73:18	100:17	72:22	27:24	84:3
77:16, 25	asserted	99:4	28: <i>20</i>	96:11
approximat	20:11	attorney-	auditor	110:19
ion	50:19	client	28: <i>23</i>	111:16
62:10, 13	85:11	22:8, 10,	authority	
archives	87:18	24 28:9	72:21	background
26:9, 10	88:3	32:22	73:7, 13	14:12
areas	asserting	38:11	Auxiliary	bankrupt
59:1	40:8	41:16,	58: <i>4</i>	39:14
arising	assertion	18	80:21	
108:21	102:12	51:24	Available	BANKRUPTCY
ASAP	103:20	52:3	4:2	1:1
112:9	assigning	55:10	37:15	7:11
asbestos	47:5, 7	56 : 5	42:18	17:24
15:19	assist	59:3, 10,	90:14	18:2
aside	31:11	17, 21	112:14,	24:8
91:3	assume	64:12,	15, 21, 24	27:12
asked	43:2	18 68:5,	Avenue	29:23
36:11	84:7	10 69:8,	13:18	30:21
46:22	assuming	17, 24	aware	31:17
60:4	57: <i>21</i>	70:11	40:13	33:3
	58:10	72:9	44:3	34:2, 3,

9, 15, 21	Basically	49:9	bishop	szjlaw.com
37:10	26: <i>20</i>	50:18	31:11	2:18
38:18,	53:15, 16	belief	35:6, 9,	board
23	basis	44:4, 10	11 38:4,	40:11,
39:18	9:6	48:7	7, 16, 19,	14 43:1
40:16,	30:3	65:17,	21, 25	45:8
24 41:3	38:18	23 74:6,	39:6, 11,	49:16
42:11	40:8	12	14, 15,	65:13
43:15	43:15,	76:17	24 40:8,	80:23
50:3, 10	<i>23</i> 52:7,	98:21	11, 15,	BOND
57: <i>5, 9</i>	11	believe	23 42:9,	2:3
61:18,	54:23	11:22	22 43:5,	7:23
22	56:12	21:16	14, 24	8:16
62:15,	58: <i>18</i>	24:6	44:4, 19	11:16
20	59:10,	28:24	45:3, 21	13:17
66:19	17, 18,	38:6	46:10	bottom
67:1	21, 25	47:10	47:3	23:13
72: <i>2</i>	62:12	53:10	48:7, 13,	Boulevard
74: <i>25</i>	64:18	55:1	20, 24	2:13
85: <i>17</i> ,	68:10	59:12	49:6, 12,	breached
19	69:8, 17	61:10	14 51:6,	53:21
105: <i>15</i>	70:10,	63:14	9, 13	break
109:11,	19 73:8,	65:15	52:6, 9,	43:13
22	9, 12	77:17	14	47:15
110:4	74:12	80:10	54:12,	48:4
111:6	76: <i>24</i>	81:14	24 55:6,	78:17,
base	85: <i>2</i> , 3,	84:5	16, 24	25
44:12	13 86:1,	112:2, 6	56:2, 10,	79:21
65: <i>23</i>	7, 8	best	16 58:4,	110:6
based	90:15,	98:20	9 60:7,	breaking
44:18	21 94:9	better	11 69:5,	78:14
58 <i>:9</i>	100:8	46:20	13, 16,	breathe
82 : 8	began	86:12	23	44:14
83:19	24:7, 8,	beyond	80:15,	BRENDAN
93: <i>23</i>	21 25:4	42:3	21, 23	2:6
96:12,	beginning	68:23	87:16	7:23
19, 23,	43:3	69:9	88:1	13:20
24	84:15	72:11	bishop's	21:14
102:13	90:7	92:13	78:7	brief
103:21	behalf	93:20	bit	110:6
105: <i>5, 8</i>	7:23	96:7	63:10	briefly
109:4	9:10	107:18	bmichael@p	90:3

	1			l ==== 1050
bring	call	canon	19	CER-1260
54:22	19:3	35:3, 17	86:11	1:22
64:4	38:11	56:15,	87:19	115:17
96:11	51:24	16, 19	88:3, 19	certain
bringing	55:10	canonical	94:7	46:1, 17
31:4	56:5	46:17	95:4	47:8
brings	59:3	47:12	104:2, 24	78:6
107:4	62:15	canonicall	cases	CERTIFICAT
BRITTANY	64:12	y 46:1	18:2, 5	E 114:1
2:15	68:5	capture	29:14	115:1
7:16	69:7	6:14	31:25	Certified
47:14	95:18	captured	32:9	115:18
112:17	99:4, 16,	9:8	33:5	certify
Broadway	25	capturing	53:5, 8,	114:6
7:6	called	9:3	10, 11,	115:3, 9
brought	10:8	car	12, 14,	116:3, 7
53 <i>:9</i>	57: <i>22</i>	15: <i>25</i>	19, 22,	CFO
54:19	58:11	Carolina	24 54:3,	66:24
76:9	94:24	14:17	19, 20,	84:4
bsheehan@b	calls	carriers	<i>25</i> 55: <i>5</i> ,	
sk.com	22:22	33:18	8, 18	chancellor
2:9	34:17	Case	58: <i>19</i> ,	14:20
BUFFALO	42:24	1:4	20, 24	26:9, 16,
1:5, 8	43:12,	7:10	63:6, 15	22
2:10	17, 18,	15:19	77:20	29:19
7:10, 24	19 49:3	19:8	85: <i>12</i> ,	35:6, 15,
8:17	61:2	30:22	16	16
13:18	65: <i>5</i>	31:16	86:12	change
14:18,	72:9	36:18	88:7	47:11
22 15:4	76:1	40:17,	93:4, 7	117:1
24:2	82:16	25	101:12	changes
bulletins	83:2, 20	42:11	102:22	12:17, 21
15:13	85: <i>20</i>	43:25	107:25	Chapter
burden	86: <i>16</i> ,	45:21	109:4, 7	1:6, 11
109:10	24	47:2	Catholic	24:4
button	87:11	53: <i>4</i>	17:20	27:4, 23
20:25	88:9	54: <i>9</i> , <i>2</i> 3	93:8, 9	28:1
	91:21	56: <i>15</i>	cause	43:25
< C >	Camp	59:18,	19:13	45:21
	29:9, 10,	25 60:3	Center	47:2
California	12, 14	63:24	2:4	65: <i>5</i>
2:14	-		central	76:13
	_			87:19
			2:4	65: <i>5</i> 76:13

				1
88:3, 19	105:15,	clear	comes	Committee'
111:6	24	13:4	18:18	s 4:7
CHARLES	108:9	108:10	34:6	72:20
2:7	111:4, 8	cleric	104:24	97:25
7:24	claimant	47:5	comfortabl	98: <i>6</i>
8:15	95:10	clerical	e 13:8	common
13:20	claimants	77:7	command	100:17
Charlie	63:12	clerks	60:10	105:6,
21:14	100:17	25:13, 17	comment	13, 23
24:23	101:8	client	12:22	106:17
41:7, 24	111:10	21:21	comments	107:20,
chat	claims	30: <i>25</i>	99:13	24
6:18	20:10	39:10		108:2, 6,
chief	64:10,	56:13	Commission	8, 13
14:20	17	72:23	114:18,	communicat
21:13,	87:1 <i>8</i>	client-	19	ing
15, 25	88:3	privilege		63: <i>25</i>
22:1	90:12	99:4	commitment	65:7
26:15,	100:19	coaching	68:2	communicat
20	102:23	71:16	commitment	ion 6:17
29:21	105:7	co-	s 74:13	communicat
30:17	106:13	insured	Committee	ions
31:19	clarificat	90:11	2:19	14:6
54:13,	ion		7:18	62:18
14	46:22	collateral	8:4, 9,	companies
80:16	clarify	50:20	11 9:11	106:12
81:16,	46:24	85:2, 4	33:17	comparing
23 97:6	52 : 5	86:14	65 <i>:6</i>	94:11
child	56:10	88:18	67:21	competentl
14:21	59:8, 15	89:13	68:3, 11,	y 14:9
17: <i>25</i>	64:16	come	15, 17,	competing
29:20	69:22	19:11	20 69:2,	104:22
church	70:7, 13	27:16	4, 5, 13,	complainan
17:21	72:17	31:25	16, 21,	t 19:12
Civil	98:19	34:18,	23 70:1,	complainan
5:11, 14,	100:6	23	8 71:25	ts
15 6:7	106:7	48:22	72:3, 8,	109:16
claim	108:5	52: <i>22</i>	17 73:8,	
100:16,	111:8	53:4	13, 16,	complaints
20	112:10	78:3	19, 20	18:23
101:7, 8	CLB 1:4	79:8	100:15	100:15
102:11	cleanup	82:4, 7	102:11	101:6
103:19	110:9		103:19	102:11,

22	78: <i>23</i>	contracts	copied	10:12
103:19	112:16	83:10,	77:13	14:5, 22
complete	conflictin	14, 17,	correct	29:20
35:18	g 31:23	24 84:1	13:12,	32:4, 11
completed	conjunctio	91:18	13	34:2, 4,
28:16	n 98:7		16: <i>25</i>	11, 15
	consequenc	contribute	23:5	35:7, <i>22</i>
completely	es 85:7	40:24	24:7	36:3, 14,
37:10	considerat	41:1	29:16,	17, 23
	ions	contributi	24 31:6,	37:5, 8,
compliance	39:12,	ng 62:17	9 64:19	19, 23
14:20	16	contributi	65:11,	39:9
28:23	40:10	on	12, 22	43:12,
29: <i>22</i>	94:22	42:11	68:13,	18
compositio		68:12	15, 18	46:23
n 72:7,	constantly	70:10	69:19,	48:4
20 73:7,	44:14	72:2, 4	<i>25</i> 70:4,	52:8
13	consuming	91:10	12	53:16,
	94:15	contributi	72:18,	23 54:2,
concerning	contact	ons	19	4, 7, 17
19:11	13:25	66:11	73:15	64:8, 17
concluded	contained	90:8	84:6	78:23
113:7	21:18	91:20	100:10	79:21
		controllin	107:12	83:6
conclusion	contention	g 5:16	110:3	112:16
76:1	100:22	conversati	111:3	115:9
82:17	102:16	on 62:7	115:7	counsels
85:21	103:4,	67:7	116:8	44:2
86:17,	12, 24	conversati	117:1	County
25	104:4, 10	ons	correctly	17:9, 11
87:12	context	57:15	60: <i>20</i>	114:4
88:10	45:20	96: <i>25</i>	correlatin	couple
91:22	47:1, 2	97:2	g 63:25	112:4
94:9	71:13		costs	COURT
103:1	78:4	coordinate	90:11	1:1
110:3	82:3	32:2, 5	94:1, 3,	6:9
conclusion	90:22	coordinate	7, 8, 10	7:11
s 109:4	continue	d 15:12	109:13,	12:6
conducted	71:17	32:14	14	15:20
6:7 9:1	contract	coordinato	110:25	17:22
confer	82:13,	r 14:21	counsel	35:19
47:15	21, 25	15:11	6:25	41:22
		29:21	7:13	44:17

64:17	15: <i>4</i>	7:3	decision	
85:6, 16	18:13,	32:11	51:6, 10,	deductible
86:3, 6,	15	117:1	19, 21	90:12
11 89:8	26: <i>25</i>	DATED	55:7, <i>16</i>	deductible
101:15	27:1	114:12	56: <i>17</i>	s 92:2,
102:20,	43:5	115:13	decisions	10
23	Currently	day	39:11,	deemed
104:1,	13:15,	27: <i>22</i>	15 40:9,	5:14
20, 21,	16	33:23	15	defend
25	14:19	46:19	42:10,	53:24
105:1	19:16,	62:19	19, 23	54: <i>4</i>
107:19	19	66:4	46:19	109:12
109:20,	23:10	112:22,	49:6, 13,	defendant
21	32:19	24	14, 17,	19:16,
cover	37:4	113:1, 2	18, 22	20, 24
91:10	45: <i>4</i>	114:6,	50:12	93:8
coverage	67: <i>16</i>	12	51:8	
27:21	76:6	115:13	52: <i>6</i>	Defendants
88: <i>25</i>	84:1	116:15	58: <i>24</i>	1:12
90:13	cut	117:1	59:1, <i>9</i> ,	defending
95: <i>12</i>	10:22	days	13, 16,	70:25
96:2, 5	23:10	33:23	23 60:5,	94:5, 6
101:11	CVA	43:16	9, 12, 15	96:9
107:5, 9	18:1, 2,	day-to	102:13	defense
covered	5, 12	46:18	103:21	17:8
41:16	19:15,	day-to-	Declaratio	25:20
85: <i>9</i>	19, 22	day	n 4:5	32:4
91:12	20:5, 8,	45:22	20:22	90:11
covering	11 27:5	46:3, 12,	21:6, 9,	91:12
90:10	29:6, 12	16 58:17	12, 18	94:1, 3,
create	37:10	deacons	22:6	7, 10
101:13		47:7	31:5	108:20
creates	< D >	dealing	80: <i>2</i>	109:13
109:11	database	58:21,	85:1	110:25
creating	63: <i>25</i>	23 83:14	87: <i>25</i>	
6:9	databases	Debtor	104:13	definitely
Creditors	63: <i>5</i>	1:6	declare	112:9
2:20	64:3, 4	deceased	117:1	degree
7:19	database-	27:1, 2	decrees	14:13,
curious	wise	deciding	47:12	14, 16
97:19	63:24	40:23	dedicate	degrees
current	DATE	60:21	77:17	14:15
14:25	1:18			

Delaware	21 42:4	detrimenta	23 32:3,	101:14,
13:18	68:23	1 102: <i>25</i>	7, 20	17, 19
delegate	69:10	device	35:2, 12	102:12,
45: <i>4</i> , 7	72:12	6:22	37:20,	14, 25
department	117:1	different	21 39:2,	103:20,
s 29:5,	deposition	27:12	8, 13, 17	22
6	s 48:14	34:16	43:6	105:5, 8
depends	describe	63 <i>:6</i>	49:9	106:3,
34:17,	21:5	94:21,	50:18,	10, 24
23 54:8	25:11	22, 23	20	107:3, 7,
56: <i>15</i>	28:3, 17	95:1, 5,	53: <i>23</i>	9, 11, 19
77:19	91:6	6 96:5	57:13,	108:7
78: <i>2</i>	100:7, 21	109:4	18	111:2
93:3	DESCRIPTIO	diocesan	58:14	Diocese's
95: <i>2</i> , <i>4</i>	N 4:4	15: <i>6</i>	60:10,	97:25
96:17		18:10	24 62:2,	98:5
depleting	designated	34:2	24	direct
109:14	7:5	80:25	63:19	80:2
deponent	detail	81:13	65:19,	87:17
48:14	63:10	85:12	20	88:2
117:1	95:1 <i>2</i>	86:12	66:20	direction
deposed	detailed	87:17	67:5, 9,	53:16
10:18,	94:14,	88:1, 15	17 74:8,	directly
24	15, 17	102:22	9, 16	33:9
11:24,	95:13,	104:2, 24	75:4, 15,	54:6, 16
25	15, 22	DIOCESE	24 76:7,	57: <i>15</i>
15:22	details	1:5, 8	19, 20	71:10
80:8, 11,	95: <i>6</i>	2:10	77:3, 22	104:20
1	determinat	7:9, 24	80:7, <i>8</i>	disciplina
14, 20, 24	ions	8:17	82:13,	ry 16:3
	89:1	14:22	22 83:1,	disclaimin
DEPOSITION	determine	15:4, 5	6, 11, 17	_
1:14	65:8	16:16,	85:7	discovery
5:10	70:9	23	86:4, 13,	
6:7, 15,	72:1	17:18,	21 87:4,	
19, 21,		19, 23	8 88:7,	100:8
25 7:8	determines	19:16,	19 89:7,	Discrimina
8:25	54:19	20, 23	9, 14	tion
12:13	determinin	22:19	90:10	53:10,
13:9	g 66:11	24:2	91:18	12, 14
14:1	67:21	30:10,	93:7	54:3, <i>25</i>
15:24	68:11	13	100:7,	55:8, 18
16:9, 19,	72:4	31:20,	18, 22	56:12

discuss		25 74:2,	duplicativ	77:22
24:1	dissipated	4 91:5	e 63:15	105:2
42:17	92:24	100:21	duration	E-file
43:11	93:15	101:3, 5,	33:22	18:9, 20
48:3	distributi	24	duties	eight
58: <i>20</i>	on	102:15	57:24	17:8
60:14	109:15	103:11,	58:3, <i>6</i>	either
73:12	DISTRICT	23 104:9	dwindling	11:5
discussed	1:2	DOE 1:11	24:4, 9	17:17
66:1	7:11	doing		19:11
67:19	17:10, 12	22:9, 10,	< E >	27:22
69:20	divulge	18 26:1	earlier	113:1
74:18	21:20	27: <i>22</i>	15:22	
77:1	22:24	28:4, 11,	26:12	electronic
discusses	28: <i>9</i>	17	109:20	6:22
104:12	30:24	30: <i>25</i>	earmarked	115:18
	32:22	38:13	90:9, 23	
discussing	59:3	52:1	91:2	eliminated
71:23	divulging	55:12	Eastern	24:15
84:3	19:5	56:7	7:4	25:8, 15,
	32: <i>25</i>	59 : 5	11:8, 12	17, 19
discussion	83:22	66:3	47:22,	29:5
48:6	99:6, 19	73:19	25	Ellen
discussion	100:3	74:16	79:13,	21:15
s 14:4	document	77:12	16	email
21:7	23:15	Donato	110:16,	6:19
34:9	26:8	21:14	19 113:6	employed
43:21	48:8	download	eat	14:19
51: <i>14</i>	97:17	4:2	79:23	66:20
55:4, 14	98:14,	112:4	educationa	employee
56:1	21	draft	1 14:11	18:10,
71:13	99:10,	22:5	effect	11
79:20	13, 23	112:3	85:11	25:14
112:11	documents	drilling	efforts	65:10
dismiss	20:18	70:14	32:3, 5,	employees
50:13	27: <i>6</i>	due	20 43:7	37:20
51:7	34:20	69:24	60:24	57: <i>24</i>
52:15	35: <i>23</i>	84:5	61:5	58: <i>2</i>
55:7, <i>24</i>	37:9, 15	duly	65: <i>21</i>	87:17
56:3, 12,	43:25	10:8	67:17	88:1, 15
17	44:1	116:4	71:24	employing
disparagin	61:4	duplicatin	74:10	84:1
g 110:2	73:24,	g 105:2	76:7, <i>2</i> 1	

	04.15			1•
omplormont	24:17	examples	ourneagi on	extra
employment 16:23	42:5	88:16, 25	expression	112:24
	ESQUIRE	Excuse	90:23	113:1, 2
17:4, 17	2:6, 7,	47:14	91:1	
92:13	8, 15, 16,	48:22	extensive	< F >
93:20	17	49:17,	27:20	faces
96:7	establish	18 58: <i>9</i>	extensivel	50:1
entered	111:13	EXHIBIT	y 58:4	fact
37:12	estate	4:4	extent	13:12
108:21	109:11,	20:21,	19:2	45:7
entire	22	23, 25	21:19,	54:22
36:20	estimate	28:25	22	55:3
entirely	34:22	98:1, 2	22:23	56:14
93:25	estoppel	expected	28:9	58:9
entities	50: <i>20</i>	22:20	30:24	65:24
19:23	85:2, 4	expecting	32:21,	82:8
32:4	86:14	111:22	24	84:8
62:17,	88:18	expenditur	38:10	96:1
19	89:13	es 47:9	39:21	100:18
64:21	ET 1:11		41:6, 15	101:11,
68:12	events	experience	44:24	13
70:10	15: <i>12</i>	17:24	45: <i>25</i>	105:7,
72:1	eventual	18:1	50: <i>9</i>	23
83:12	41:2	82:24	51:23	106:17
90:10	Everybody	96:9	55: <i>9</i>	107:20
91:19	23:15	expert	56: <i>4</i>	108:13
100:19,	exactly	13:12	59: <i>2</i>	109:3
20	17:13		60: <i>16</i> ,	facts
101:9	36: <i>8</i>	expiration	17	101:18
111:5, 9	40:20	12:18	61:20	103:3
entitled	63:11	114:19	64:11	104:3
6:5	64:24	explain	65: <i>4</i> , 8	109:4
14:6	66:23	50 <i>:6</i>	68:4	factual
35:10	101:3	63:10	69:7	85: <i>5</i>
entity	EXAMINATIO	86:13,	72:6, 8	factually
66:12	N 3:3	21 87:4,	75: <i>25</i>	85:11
67:21	10:14	8, 16	77:9, 23	faithful
equitable	example	88:4	83:24	17:20
109:15	49:25	104:17	99:3, 15,	fall
Erie	52:10	105:4	24	52:13,
17:9, 11	88:15	exploring	104:16	20 95:7,
	109:20	41:22	106:1	8
Especially			109:9, 19	

			1 ~ .	
falls	50:12	66:19	first	flow
52:11	51:6, 10	67:1	20:18	101:16
53:2, 5,	52:15	filings	89:25	follow
8 94:13	55:7, 17	50:10	97:25	39:21
96 <i>:6</i>	56:11, 17	61:18	98:6	following
familiar	filed	final	116:3	23:4
20:10	7:10	110:21	firsthand	follows
far	16:5	finalize	71:11	10:9
41:20	27: <i>2</i>	16:15	Fisher	food
51: <i>19</i> ,	100:15,	financial	38:4, 7,	79:1
<i>22</i> 52: <i>6</i>	16, 20	21:15	16, 19,	foregoing
77:20	101:6, 7,	22:2	<i>25</i> 39:6,	115:4
86:10	8	31:18	11, 14,	116:7
107:15	102:23	47:8	24 40:8	117:1
Father	111:5, 9	49:19	42:9, 22	form
56: <i>22</i> ,	files	50:8	43:14,	5:7
<i>25</i> 57:3,	20:4, 7	54:14	24 44:4	19:25
7, 11, 17	26:7, 9,	73:25	45:4, 21	24:20
58:5, 10,	23 27:2,	74:2, 4	46:11	25:2, 22
13 59:8,	3, 6, 22	81:16,	47:3	27:18
16, 22	28:2, 4,	23 97:6	48:7, 13,	28:5
60:11,	17, 22	find	20, 24	29:7
23 61:3	30:17	86:4	49:7, 12,	30:4, 23
70:1, 8	33:5	finders	14 51:6,	32:17
fault	34:25	109:3	9, 13	33:19
86:4	35:3, 8,	finding	52:6, 9,	36: <i>6</i> , <i>24</i>
FEDERAL	15, 17,	36:20	14	37:8, 14,
5:1, 11,	23 36:2,	102:21	54:24	24
13, 15	13, 19,	103:21	55:6, 16,	39:20
6:1, 6	22 37:5,	104:1	24	40:18
fees	21 58:5	findings	56: <i>16</i>	41:5, 14
94:15	filing	88:25	60:7, 11	42:24
field	17:24	Fine	69:5, 13,	44:7
106:16	24:4, 8	71:20	23	45:5, 14,
fielding	26:2, 6,	90:1	Fisher's	23 46:6,
35:24	7, 11, 13,	97:23	43:5	13, 16
figure	18, 22	110:14	56:2, 11	49:8, 23
97 : 5	27:5, 8,	113:2	69:16	50:24
file	12	firm	five	51:2
25:13,	29:23	7:17	34:10	62:4
17	38:18,	firms	Floor	64:22
27:20	23 50:2	32:3, 6	2:13	75:6
36: <i>20</i>	61:22			77:4

81:8	93:4, 14	23	45:11	84:3
87:20	109:18	28:16,	47:19	85: <i>16</i>
88:20	found	18, 21	52:24	88:6
89:2	89:8	35 <i>:6</i>	57:14,	89:22,
91:14	101:16	57: <i>23</i>	19 62:3,	24
93:1, 17	108:19	60 <i>:6</i>	<i>25</i> 63:7	96:11
94:19		80:15, 19	67:6, 10	97: <i>24</i>
95: <i>16</i> ,	frequently	Generally	70:22	101:16
24	59:19	19:7	73:9	104:2,
102:2	65: <i>4</i>	20:10,	74:3	22, 24
103:5	83:13	12	75:5, 16	109:18
104:5	FROM/TO	109:18	78:7	112:25
108:15	117:1	general's	93:4, 13	Good
109:23	front	54:12	110:6, 8	7:2, 22
formal	80:3	getting	Godinez	8:21
46:23	frowned	11:1	1:22	9:17, 19
formatted	35:14	24:24	7:5	10:16,
115:7	full	30:18	115:3, 17	17
former	93:11	give	goes	78:14
57: <i>24</i>	115:7	10:2	42:3	79:23, 25
58: <i>2</i>	function	11:6	going	governs
forth	108:13	84:17	12:25	9:2
115: <i>5</i>	FURTHER	100:11	16:22	grab
forward	5:6, 9,	102:6	17:25	79:1
18:1	12	103:15	18:1	grand
30:14,	22:18	112:24	20:21	94:7
19	32:1	given	22:7	grant
31:15	109:14	35: <i>15</i>	31:17	35:9, 10,
35: <i>20</i>	115:9	58:7	36:2, 13,	11
36:12	116:7	116:9	16, 18,	granted
39:3, 8	future	giving	20 37:7	92:24
40:7	49:19	53: <i>20</i>	39:19	Grayson
42:21	53: <i>6</i>	59:19	41:20	21:13
44:6	85: <i>16</i>	go 11:7	42:6	Great
45:11	88:7	17:6	46:21	14:11
46:21		18:18	48:6	21:3
57:14,	< G >	23:9	50:2	79:11
19 62:3,	gather	26:8	63: <i>6</i>	greater
<i>25</i> 63:6,	35: <i>23</i>	30:19	68:1, 23	94:10, 16
18, 24	general	35: <i>22</i>	71:16	grievance
67:6, 11	26:15,	39:3, 8,	73:9	16:5
75: <i>5</i> , <i>16</i>	21	22 40:7	78:15,	
	27:13,			

Grosz	happens	hired	idea	impacted
58:4, 9	77:19	53: <i>23</i>	38:24	35:19
80:21	hard	54:2, 4	39:23	36:11
ground	34:22	hold	73:22	implicate
46:19	39:21	15:3	74:22	93:8
grounds	95:11	84:16	81:2	
72:10, 25	hazard	honestly	97:13	implicated
group	18:17	69:3	identical	105:14,
6:18	heading	hopefully	87:18	24
guess	65: <i>6</i>	20:20	88:2	important
18:17	hear	hour	identifica	12:25
30:6	11:23	78:16	tion	13:4
71:7, 9	41:8, 12	79:5	6:12	39:11,
111:18	heard	hours	20:23	15 40:9
guessing	61:14	33:13,	98:2	imputed
65: <i>15</i> ,	70:21	14, 15,		86:9
16 82:1	hearing	23 34:8,	identified	87:9
guys	10:25	14, 19,	6:11, 18,	88:16
79: <i>23</i>	11:3, 4	24	23	include
	12:22	36:21	identify	9:4
< H >	22:19	43:16	7:13	30:9
half	heavily	58: <i>20</i>	8:2, 6,	included
79:5	57: <i>25</i>	65: <i>25</i>	19	26:13
84:21	58:8, 10	73:18	105:13,	including
halfway	62:16	77:16,	21, 23	14:5
78:21	77:8	25 112:5	ii 90:11	111:1
hand	80:22	huge	ILAN	inconsiste
10:1	81:25	26:17	2:17	nt
handle	heavy	27:11	8:8	104:13,
44:16	62:9	31:22		14
handled	he'd	40:4	illustrate	108:22
35:1	49:22	64:2	51:3	indemnific
happened	held	67:24	imagine	ation
16:17	104:25	68:1	35:24	75: <i>17</i> ,
53:7	105:1	hundreds	immediatel	21, 23
happening	Hello	35: <i>25</i>	y 17:1	81:22
31:20	7:16		impact	100:23
32:12	help	< I >	49:20	101:14,
40:13	26:24	Iaian	50:20	17, 19,
44:3	helpful	8:3	87:17	25
53 <i>:6</i>	23:19	IAIN	88:2	106:2, 8,
64:4, 5		2:16	109:22	15
71:13		93:10		107:5,

16, 18	21:17,	inquire		48:7, 13,
109:13	21, 24	14:6	interested	20, 25
110:24	22:1, 2,	instances	115:11	49:7, 12,
indemnify	10, 14,	89:12	interestin	14, 22
82:9, 12,	25	108:22	g 54:22	50:3, 10,
14, 22	28:22	instruct	internal	12 51:6,
83:1	30:19,	6:16	34:2	8, 10, 13,
106:11	25	19:4		18, 22
independen	32:23,	21:21	internally	52:6, 9,
t 28:23	<i>25</i> 33:4	22: <i>25</i>	34:5	14
	36:21	28:8, 10	interposin	54:12,
individual	42:5	32:23	g 71:1	<i>24</i> 55:6,
26: <i>23</i>	44:19	38:12	Interrogat	13, 16,
31:15,	59: <i>4</i>	51: <i>25</i>	ories	25
23	63:7	55:11	4:7	56:17
40:12,	64:9	56: <i>6</i>	98:1, 7	57: <i>25</i>
14, 24	83:22	59: <i>4</i>	Interrogat	58: <i>5</i> , <i>8</i> ,
43:2	96:13	64:13	ory	10, 13
44:17	98:21	68 <i>:6</i>	100:11,	60:18
45:8, 12	99:7, 19	83:21	12, 14	61:19
47: <i>4</i>	100:3	99:5, 18	102:6, 7,	62:14,
49:16,	112:8	100:2	10	16, 18,
20	in-house	insurance	103:14,	20 63:4
50:13	14:21	27:21	15, 18	65:3
51:11,	29:20	32:9, 12	intuitive	68:24
14	32:11	33:18	20:20	71:11
62:18	34:11	81:21	involve	73:23
63:12,	36:17	82:1, 3	22:9, 12	74:5, 14
13 65:2,	37:21	84:6	30:20	75:19
7, 11, 14	39:9	85: <i>10</i>	36:3	76:12
66:12	52:8	88:24	53: <i>5</i>	77:8, 11,
74:15	53: <i>15</i>	90:10,	105:6	22
76:10	83:6	14	involved	80:22
82:9	initial	91:12	21:6	81:25
86 <i>:6</i>	22:5	93:13	24:16	94:22
individual		95: <i>21</i>	25:9, 20	95:1, 6
s 26:13,	injunction	106:12	31:19	98:24
18	22:20	insured	32:9	99:22
76: <i>25</i>	92:24	22:3	42:16	100:7
81:7	98: <i>8</i>	96: <i>2, 6</i>	43:3	involvemen
informatio	injury	110:25	45:22	t 40:16
n 16:10	15: <i>25</i>		46:2, 11	53:1, 13,
19:4, 6	94:6		47:3, 10	18

62:23	Jaime		know	58:7, 16
71:23	1:22	< K >	13:2	59:19
99:2	7:5	Karalus	16:17	61:6, 19
IRCP	Jamie	56: <i>22</i> ,	19:8, 9,	62:5, <i>6</i>
16:11,	115:3, 17	<i>25</i> 57:3,	15, 19,	63:2, 3,
12 21:8,	JD	8, 11, 17	21, 22	4, 21
24 63:14	14:13, 17	58:6, 10,	20:1, 2	66:9, 16,
issue	JMH 1:11	13	21:9	24
34:18,	JOB	59: <i>16</i> ,	24:13	67:13,
23	1:23	23	25:16,	14 69:3,
44:15	17:2	60:12,	18, 24,	19
74:19	44:2	23 61:3	<i>25</i> 28: <i>6</i>	73:17
78: <i>2</i>	97:3	70:1, 8	29:8, 10	75:7, 8
85: <i>18</i>	jobs	Karalus's	30:11	76:2, 3,
103:21	17:14	59: <i>9</i>	33:20	11, 15,
109: <i>25</i>	John	keep	36:7, <i>25</i>	16 77:7,
issues	52: <i>25</i>	78:15, 18	37:1, 2,	13, 14,
27:13,	54:11,	keeping	8, 25	20
14, 16	18	63:23	38:1, 20,	78:12,
31:22	81:15	77:23	21	16, 18,
43:20	90:22	keeps	39:21,	25
56: <i>20</i>	92:6	63:5	24 40:3	80:13,
66:4	JONES	kept	42:15,	14 81:2,
68:24	2:12	32:11	25 44:8,	9, 10, 17
72:22	7:18	kind	15 45:6,	82:18,
80:22	judgment	20:19	15, 17	19 83:4,
84:9, 10	51:11	26: <i>6</i>	46:14,	5, 7
85:5, 10,	55: <i>17</i>	43:8	18	84:7, 8,
17	89: <i>8</i>	kinds	48:10,	11, 20
95:1 <i>2</i> ,	105: <i>5</i>	53:8	11, 17,	85: <i>22</i> ,
14	judgments	KING	18 49:2,	23
101:10,	104:13,	2:3	4 50:15,	86:18
13	14	7:23	16	87:1, 13,
102:24	108:23	8:16	51: <i>16</i> ,	21 88:6,
104:15	judicata	11:16	17	11, 12,
106:6	89:13	13:17	52:1 <i>2</i> ,	13, 21,
109:17	102:13	knew	17, 18	22 89:3,
its	jump	106:5,	54:11	5, 11
89:9	93:11	18	55:2, 3,	91:13,
105:8	JUSTIN	107:3,	22	16, 23,
	2:8	20	56:18,	24 92:4,
< J >	11:15	108:14	19, 21	9, 11
			57:2, 7	93:2, 18,

19, 25	15	Legal	liable	little
94:1, 12	97:15, 20	1:21	86:5	26:24
95: <i>9</i>		7:6	89: <i>8</i>	63:10
97:2, 3,	< L >	8:23	101:16	LLM
4, 16, 17,	lack	21:7	107:8,	14:14, 17
21	86:12	59: <i>20</i>	10	LLP 2:12
101:1, 2,	large	60:3	108:19	located
15, 22	108:20	76:1	life	13:14
102:4	largely	82:10,	15:11	LOCATION
104:7,	26:11	16	lifted	1:21
11, 21	law	83:17	38:9	long
105:10,	7:17	86:16,	limited	14:25
11, 17	32:3, 6	24	68:24	17:11
106:5,	35:3, 17	87:11	Lincoln	78: <i>25</i>
16	54: <i>22</i>	88: <i>9</i>	2:4	79:3
107:22	56: <i>15</i> ,	90:23,	line	90:20
108:16,	16	25	69:9	112:21
17, 25	100:18	91:21	117:1	look
109:24	102:21,	95:11,	Lisa	18:18
110:1	24	14	2:23	19:10, 13
112:14	105:7,	109:14	8:9	looked
knowing	13	legally	list	18:9
61:24	106: <i>16</i>	60:2	29:4	53: <i>25</i>
67:2	laws 9:2	lengthy	lists	looking
knowledge	lawsuit	43:21	64:2	27:6
71:12	15:17	L-E-R	literally	97:1
84:6	lawsuits	9:24	27: <i>22</i>	Lord
85: <i>9</i>	31:24	letter		15:8
89:7	52: <i>20</i>	77:14	litigation	Los 2:14
90:21	54:15	letters	29:6, 13	losses
96:12,	74:15	77:23	35:24	90:12
13, 20,	lawyer	level	36:17	91:12
23, 24	56:19	25: <i>5</i>	49:19	lot
98:21	learning	46:11	52:10,	14:23
101:11	97:4	liability	<i>13</i> 53:2	26:1, 7,
104:15	lease	86:2	62:20	12 27:5
106:2	53:10,	87:5, 18	64:6, 10	loud
knows	11, 19,	88:17	104:12,	41:8
44:2	22 83:24	100:23	14, 18	louder
KRELL	leases	101:14,	109:9,	24:24
2:8	53:20	19, 25	18, 20	lunch
11:13,	legacy	110:25		78:17
	83:17			

79: <i>22</i>	matter	mediation	MELISSA	19:14
	7:9	19:11	1:17	20:3, 17,
< M >	9:2	33:17,	3:3	24 21:3,
maintain	12:23	22 40:2	4:5	4 22:4,
38:4	13:11	41:23	7:8	17 23:3,
56: <i>25</i>	15: <i>23</i>	43:9, 14	9:22	25
61:8	72:11	61:1	114:8	24:23
66:14	94:5, 6	70:20	116:13	25:6, 7
74:20	matters	71:11,	117:1	26:3
maintains	31:12,	13	M-E-L-I-S-	27:15,
64:3	13	72:10	S-A 9:23	25
majority	41:22	73:2, 9,	member	28:14
28:2	42:17	12	40:14	29:3, 11
making	70:19		43:1	30:8
31:22	mean	mediations	65:13	31:3
42:9, 18	18:20	33:2	68:14,	32:18
49:7, 12,	35: <i>4</i> , <i>5</i>	40:4	17	33: <i>6</i> , <i>25</i>
15	36:9	67:19	72:17	36:5, 10
51:19,	40:21	76:12	80:23	37:3, 16,
<i>21</i> 59:9,	46:16,	meet	members	18 38:3,
23 60:5,	<i>18</i> 50: <i>6</i>	73:16	35: <i>2</i>	15 40:6,
9, 12, 15	64:25	meeting	68:3, 10,	22 41:7,
man	75:20	33:2	20 69:2	12, 17,
44:10, 13	77:6	34:1, 7,	73:19	24 42:2,
	85:23	14 36:3	mention	6, 8, 20
management	91:2	62:15	104:14	43:4
45:22	96: <i>16</i>	meetings	mentioned	44:11
47:3	106:7	34:10,	36:13	45:2, 10,
mandate	110:24	11, 16,	71:22,	19 46:4,
39:5	meaning	17	24	7, 9, 21,
margin	104:17	35:21	106:17	25
23:12	108: <i>8</i>	36:13	method	47:17,
mark	means	39: <i>25</i>	9:7	18, 20
20:21,	6:19	40:3	MICHAEL	48:1, 2,
25 98:1	9:3, 5	42:17	2:15	12, 19
marked	meant	43:10,	3:4	49:5, 10
20:23	16:15	20 55:4	7:16, 17	50:5, 21,
21:1	94:4	58:19	8:5, 8	23 51:1,
98:2	106:23	60:14,	9:10	4, 20
material	107:15	17, 21	10:13,	52:4, 19
41:15	media	67:18	15, 25	55: <i>15</i> ,
materials	15:13	78:6, 10	11:4, 18,	23 56:9
16:9, 12			19, 21	58:1, 22

59:7, 14	96:4, 18	minutes	29:16	Murphy
62:11	97:9, 18,	77:24	96:22	29:19,
63:9	23 98:3,	78:5, 8		25 30:1,
64:7, 15	4, 22, 23	missing	monumental	2
65:1	99:9, 21	20:24	36:1	Murry
66:13	100:5	modificati	morning	29:24
67:15	101:4,	ons	7:2, 22	Musialowsk
68:8, 25	23	21:10	8:21	i 21: <i>16</i>
69:11,	102:5, <i>9</i>	moment	9:17, 19	31:8, 18
12 70:6,	103:2, 8	84:18	10:16, 17	66:14,
15, 21	104:8	89: <i>20</i>	motion	17 67:3,
71:6, 15,	105:12,	92:19	22:19	8, 16
21	19	100:12	41:21	68:14
72:15	107:1,	102:7	51:7, 10	69:17,
73:1, 3,	17	103:15	52:14,	20 70:9
5 75:9	108:3,		<i>15</i> 55:7,	71:23
76:5	11, 18	monetarily	17	73:14,
77:10	109:2	41:1, 2	56:11,	23 74:6
78:14,	110:5,	monetary	17	Mutual
21 79:3,	12, 21,	42:10	68:24	93:8
7, 10, 18,	22	money	98:8	
19	111:12,	93:25	104:12,	< N >
80:17	23, 24	Monica	18	N.Y 1:5,
81:12	112:1,	2:13	motions	8 2:10
82:11,	10, 19, 23	monitor	50:13	name
20 83:9	middle	31:16	55: <i>24</i>	7:4
84:2, 19,	27:24	36:18	56: <i>2</i>	8:22
25	28:20		move	9:21
85: <i>25</i>	mill	monitoring	30:13	93:7, 11
86: <i>20</i>	94:13	36: <i>16</i>	35:19	105: <i>5</i>
87:3, 15,	95: <i>9</i>	month	44:6	named
24	million	33:10,	moved	19:20,
88:14,	96:23	14, 15,	28:24	23
23 89:6,	97:5, 12	23, 24	36:12	107:11
<i>22</i> 90:6	mind	43:16	Moving	108:7
91:17,	10:23	73:19	56: <i>22</i>	NASATIR
25	78:24	77:16	74:20	2:16
92:18,	mindful	monthly	84:12	8:3
22 93:6,	78: <i>15</i>	30:3	89:17	National
10, 12,	ministeria	38:18	multiple	93:9
21	1 26:1	43:15, 23	32:3	Nativity
94:25	minute	months	mundane	15:8
95: <i>20</i>	84:16	15:2	94:13	

naturally	NEW 1:2	note	25:1, 22	25 77:4
85: <i>16</i>	2:5	31:5	27:10,	80:12
nature	7:6, 10,	noted	18 28:5	81: <i>8</i>
54:15	11	117:1	29:7	82:5, 16
nearly	13:18	notes	30:4, 23,	83:2, 19
42:16	15:8	110:7, 8	24	85:20
necessary	27:2	noticing	32:17,	86:16,
6:10	83:14	7:14	21	24
27:8	97:10	November	33:19	87:11,
need	nine	1:18	36:4, 6,	20 88:9,
18:25	33:23	7:3	24	20 89:2
19:13	43:16	114:6,	37:14,	91:14,
31:10	Nineteen	12	24	21 93:1,
32: <i>2</i>	92:18	115:13	38:10	17
41:8	non	116:5	40:18	94:19
42:22	25: <i>25</i>	117:1	41:5	95:16,
77:13,	non-abuse	Number	42:12,	24 99:3,
14 82:2	52: <i>9</i>	7:10	24 44:7,	15, 24
96:14	non-	97:6	22 45:5,	100:25
110:9	debtor	103:14	14, 23	101:20
113:1	109:10	numbers	46:6, 13,	102:2,
needed	non-	22:1	16 48:9,	18
65:18	debtors	25:16, 18	16 49:1,	103:5
74:7	105:6		8, 23	104:5
76:18	non-	< 0 >	50:14	105:9,
91:11	ministeria	oath	51:3, 15,	16
112:11	1 24:17	6:8	23	106:20
needs	25:10	12:2, 5,	52:16	107:13,
26:8	nonstop	8 114:9	55: <i>9, 20</i>	21
47:11	28:22	object	56: <i>4</i>	108:15,
63 <i>:6</i>	Nope	24:19	57: <i>20</i>	24
negative	87: <i>2</i>	37:7	58: <i>15</i>	109:23
85:11	Notary	39:19	59: <i>2</i>	objectiona
negotiatio	2:24	69:7	62:4	ble 45:1
ns	8:19, 21,	objected	63:1, 20	
33:17	22 9:15,	41:14,	64:11,	Objections
62: <i>16</i>	17, 20,	15 72:24	22 66:8	4:6
neither	25 10:9,	objecting	67:12	5:7
71:8	10	50:23	68:4, 22	46:23
115:9	114:1, 7,	Objection	69: <i>6</i>	71:2, 18
never	17	19:2, 25	70:3, 18,	obligate
35:14	116:19	21:19	22 72:6,	83:11
	117:1	22:8, 22	7 75:6,	

obligates	80:16	72:20	26:16,	overall
82: <i>25</i>	81:16,	73:11	20	60:4
	24 97:6	79:8, 11,	31:19	oversees
obligation	offices	12	54:13	54:20
12:9	13:17	84:22,	80:16	
110:24	15: <i>5</i>	23, 24	opinion	< P >
obligation	Official	90:5	53: <i>15</i>	P.m
s 46:18	2:19	92:19,	60:1	1:20
75: <i>23</i>	9:4	21 96:8	opportunit	47:24
83:18	offing	97:18	y 12:16	79:13,
obliterate	50:11	99:10	oppose	15
d 23:13	Oh 23:8	100:13	9:5	110:16,
observatio	61:14	102:8	opposed	18
ns 66:3	Ohio	103:17	95:3, <i>6</i>	113:6, 7
observers	114:3, 8,	110:15	104:20	PACHULSKI
8:2	17	112:2,	options	2:12, 23
obviously	Okay	12, 13	110:2	7:17
76: <i>9</i>	11:6	113:5	Orchard	8:3, 9,
95:11	12:1, 15	okaying	15:8	10
104:1, 21	15:3	47:8	original	PAGE
occur	18:7	omissions	89:14	3:3
81:22	19:15	105:6, 8	90:21	4:4
occurred	21:3, 5	once	O-T 9:23	25:3
16:16	22:18	10:21,	outcome	64:1
offering	23:3, 18,	24	115:11	98:10
53:15	21, 23	11:25	outcomes	117:1
office	25:1, 15	15: <i>23</i>	106:11	paid
17:10,	29:23	34:4	outline	15:15,
12	37:16	69: <i>6</i>	78:22	16 63:14
58: <i>18</i>	38:4	86:10	outside	Panel
112:7	46:21	ones	6:17	17:9
officer	47:21	18:7, 8	26:20	paragraph
7:5	48:6, 24	24:15	35:7	23:3, 5,
14:20	51:1, 13	25:8, 19	36:23	13, 17,
21:13,	52:13	45:16	37:5, 8,	24 24:1,
15, 25	53:1, 18	53: <i>25</i>	19, 22	20 25:2
22:2	57 : 7	58:7	43:18	28: <i>25</i>
26:16,	59: <i>15</i>	78:8	45:20	29:4
21	66:14	93:13	47:1, 16	31:5
29: <i>22</i>	67:8	94:11	53: <i>23</i>	32:1
31:19	68:14	operating	54:2, 4,	80:3
54:13,	70:1, 21	21:13,	6, 16	84:12,
14	71:9	25	67:20	13, 18

85:1	47:4, 6,	participat	107:11,	person
89:17,	13 50:9,	e 44:16	19	6:20
18, 20,	18	65 <i>:8</i>	pastors	30:17
23, 25	52:10,	participat	45:12	personal
90:7	21 53:2	ing	47:7	15:25
92:14,	63:13	6:18	pause	18:8
17	65:2, 7,	43:14, 17	92:14	94:6
93:22	14	parties	102:6	96:12,
96:11,	75:18,	5:4, 13	pay	20, 23, 24
12, 15, 19	21, 24	6:4, 10	109:13	
Paralegal	76:10	9:3, 9	110:25	personally
2:23	82:9, 12,	109:10	payment	15:18
8:10	14, 22	115:10	90:11	25: <i>25</i>
parish	89:9	party	91:11	personnel
15:6, 7,	90:8	6:13	penalties	9:7
10, 11	101:17	15:17,	117:1	22:1
16:23	106:3,	19	pending	26:23
17:8, 18	11	30:10,	19:19	30:17
18:8, 11	111:5, 9	13 32:7	people	33:4
40:14	parishione	39:2, 8,	30:16	47:6
43:2	r 17:21	13, 17	69:3	77:1
45:22	parish's	49:9	71:1	80:8, 10
46:11	40:16	57: <i>13</i> ,	94:23	81:13
47:11	91:6, 9,	19	95:10	Peter
49:17,	10	58: <i>14</i>	percent	9:23
20 50:1	Park	62:2, 25	30:7	Petras
52:14	15:8	63:19	33:12	2:23
53: <i>23</i>	parochial	65:19	62:19	Petrus
54: <i>4</i>	47:7	67:5, 10	112:7	8:9
64:5	part	74:9	perjury	phone
65:4, 11	6:15	75: <i>4</i> , <i>15</i>	117:1	6:22
80:23	33:3	76:19	permissibl	62:15
106:24	61:1	77:3	e 42:4	65: <i>5</i>
107:3, 8	73:21	80:7		photograph
108:19	76:24	83:1	permission	s 6:14
parishes	83:16	86:15,	35:9, 10,	
20:11	85: <i>24</i>	23 87:6,	11 56:11	physically
31:24	95:19	10	permitted	76:14
40:12,	106:3	89:10,	39:3	picture
24	participan	14	57:14,	6:11
42:10	ts 111:1	102:14	19 62:2	piecemeal
45:9, 13		103:22	67:6, 10	104:12,
46:2, 7			75: <i>5</i> , <i>16</i>	

14, 17	47:20	18:14,	73:3	43:24
109:9, 19	73:4	16	79: <i>20</i>	73:24
place	86:13,	29:16	80:1	74:5
115: <i>5</i>	21 87:4,	52:21	98:5, 10,	99:22
placed	8, 16, 23	72:21	16, 24	100:7
116:9	88:3	73:6, 11	110:23	presence
Plaintiff	89:21	83:7	111:14	5: <i>5</i>
1:9	100:21	positions	114:8	PRESENT
108:20,	plus	14:23	116:13	2:22
21	109:7	15:3	117:1	10:9
plan	point	24:15	Potzler's	60:11,
22:18	48:23	25:8, 11,	20:22	17 76:14
planned	53:7	19	49:11	presuppose
110:7	64:6	possible	precedent	s 37:14
planning	66:21	79:6	85: <i>14</i>	pretty
24:11	76:8, 10	106:11	86:22	20:19
platform	78: <i>15</i>	111:21	88:17	39:21
1:21	93:4	112:6	preceding	94:2
20:19	101:22	possibly	17:1	95:8
97:10	103:7	44:16	precipitat	previous
play	105:18,	74:15	ed 24:2	38:21
29:6, 12	20 106:5	80:15		39:15,
76:15	pointing	81:15, 16	preclusion	24 48:6
81:11	71:10	post-law	103:21	66:24
players	points	17:14	preliminar	
94:22	70:14	potential	y 22:20	previously
95:1	policies	42:1	92:24	67:18
playing	90:9, 14	49:19	premiums	80:20
76:11	91:11	50: <i>2</i>	91:11	103:25
pleadings	92:2, 3	101:19	prep	priest
18:4, 20	93:13	POTZLER	16:21	19:12
48:21,	policy	1:17	preparatio	20:4, 7
24	14:21	3:3	n 98:25	26:7
50:19	29:21	4:5	prepare	33:4
55: <i>2</i>	39:12,	7:9	16:9, 18	priests
please	16 40:9	9:18, 19,	22:5	26:9, 23,
7:13	42:23	22 10:1,	35: <i>23</i>	<i>25</i> 27:3
8:2, 6,	90:17	5, 16	61:3	28:2
7, 13, 19	pop-up	21:5	prepared	47:7
9:15, 20	43:19	48:3	98:16	prior
10:1	position	51: <i>5</i>	preparing	15:4
11:13	14:3	71:6, 22	21:6, 11	16:22
25:1 <i>2</i>	15: <i>15</i>	72:16	34:20	17:10,

17, 24	72:9, 10,	16:3	110:25	116:19
18:2	23 73:2,	43:15	111:1	117:1
29:18,	8, 10, 12	proceeding	pronouncin	pulled
23	83:20	s 6:15	g 56:22	44:15
38:17,	99:17	113:7	proofs	purchase
22	100:1, 9	115:4, 6	100:16,	90:9
39:14,		proceeds	19, 20	91:11
17 57:4,	privileged	37:13	101:7, 8	pure
8 61:17,	19:3, 5	process	102:11,	73:21
22	42:5	13:9	23	purely
66:19,	44:19	21:6	103:19	96:13
22, 25	privy	24:11	105:14,	purposes
74:24	24:13	processes	24	5:10
99:10,	91:8	51:19, 21	111:4, 8	91:4
14	Probably	produce	proposing	Pursuant
108:6	33:12	44:1	79:4	6:6 9:1
114:9	34:5, 10,	61:4	prosecute	pursue
privacy	12 40:3	produced	53:24	60:22
35:17	54:1, 11	28:2		
private	81:16	36:23	prosecuted	< Q >
35: <i>5</i>	92:6	37:9, 22	100:24	qualify
privilege	112:18	producing	prospectiv	78:3
21:21	problem	34:20	e 22:24	quantify
22:9, 24	104:22,	43:24		78:3
28:7, 10	23 107:9	44:3	protection	question
32:23		73:24	14:21	11:22
38:11	procedural	production	29:21	13:2
39:10	9:1	s 30:21	prove	19:8, 18
41:4, 16,	Procedure	48:8	28:23	24:20
18, 25	5:11, 14,	program	provide	25:2, 6
45:1	16 6:7	16:11,	99:13	26:5
51: <i>24</i>	proceed	12, 14	provided	28:13
52:3, 7	11:18	21:8	5:10, 13	37:17
55:10,	47:25	22:3	12:15	39:20
21 56:5,	60:2	32:10,	21:17	42:7
13 59:4,	79:17	13	Public	44:25
10, 17,	80:8	63:14	2:24	50: <i>25</i>
22	84:24	75: <i>22</i>	8:21, 22	51:3, 5
64:12,	110:20	81:21	9:17, 20,	54:22
18 68:5,		82:1, 4,	25 10:9,	59:12
10 69:8,	proceeding	9 84:6	10	62:22
18, 24	7:7	97:1	114:1, 7,	69:1, 11
70:3, 11	9:6, 8	106:9	17	70:24

72:7		reason	14, 16	refusing
73:4, 7	< R >	14:8	88:6	52: <i>5</i>
83:20	raise	60:8	97:9	68:9
99:16,	10:1	69:19	98:20	regarding
25	102:23	117:1	100:6	33:2
107:2, 4,	raised	reasons	110:16,	34:2, 15
20	109:18	32:8	17, 19	38:7
108:1, 2,	reach	72:13	113:6	39:12,
4, 6, 8,	109:3	receive	recorded	15 40:4,
9, 12, 13	112:7	111:19	12:17	9 44:20,
109:19	reaching	received	115:6	21 55:4
111:18	102: <i>25</i>	44:19	recording	56:20
questionin	read	111:16	9:4	57:3, 18
g 69:9	6:23	receiving	records	59:9, 13,
questions	21:8	65: <i>5</i>	63: <i>5</i>	16, 24
13:1	84:18	Reconvene	reduction	61:11,
21:20	89:20,	110:12	24:21	16 64:9
35: <i>25</i>	25	record		65: <i>5</i>
65 <i>:5</i>	92:15,	6:4, 10,	reductions	66:18
70:23	20	14, 25	24:1, 3,	72:7, 22
71:17	96:14	7:3, 7,	7, 8, 12	73:7
72: <i>22</i>	102:7	14 8:2,	25: <i>4</i>	74:23
78: <i>20</i>	103:15	6, 14, 20	refer	75:14
89:23,	113:8	9:4, 21	17: <i>25</i>	83:17
24 96:2	117:1	11:7, 9,	85:1	84:9
100:18	reading	10, 12,	Referee	85:7
105:7,	25:3	14	5 : <i>5</i>	91:19
13, 23	re-	12:11	referred	98:17,
106:1, 2,	adjudicate	24:25	6:24	20
4, 8, 15,	d 110:1	38:5	101:5	101:11
17	reads	41:9, 13	referring	107:20
107:18,	55: <i>2</i>	47:19,	31:14	108:14
24	ready	22, 23,	37:9	112:8
108:6	84:21,	<i>25</i> 57:1	49:11	Regina
110:7, 9,	22, 24	61:8	101:3	29:19,
21	90:5	66:15	106:18	24 30:1
111:13	real	68:9	refers	Regular
quick	102:24	69:15	100:14,	17:20
78:24	really	70:7	15	regularly
quickly	25: <i>24</i>	71:19	102:10	18:13
92:23,	60: <i>25</i>	72:16	103:18	reiterate
<i>25</i> 93:14	61:2	74:21		43:9
		79:13,		

related	37:17	8:1, 5,	reserves	45:12
19:23	41:21	12, 18,	92:23	60:12
20:5, 8	rely	24 9:12,	93:14	responsibl
26:22	32:4	14	109:14	e 15:13
28:18	remain	10:11	resource	26:10
32:4	36:22	11:2, 6,	24:10	30:18
34:20	remember	11, 17	resources	33:8
40:16	90:21	21:1	24:5	35:16
41:18	REMOTE	23:14	respect	40:12,
42:10	1:21	47:18,	5: <i>16</i>	15, 23
43:6	6:1, 8	21, 24	22:8	42:9
44:5	7:6	79:12,	32:6, 19	45:17
61:4	8:22	15	43:6	47:5, 6,
62:17	remotely	110:15,	55:21	8, 9, 11,
64:21	6:9	18	60:24	13 50:8
65: <i>20</i>	8: <i>25</i>	111:20,	67:17	59:1 <i>6</i> ,
68:11	114:8	24	76:7	23 60:5,
70:10	reorganiza	112:2,		6,8
72:1	tion	13	respective	66:6, 11
74:9	24:16	113:5	5:4	67:20,
76:20	25: <i>9</i>	115:1, 18	respond	22 72:3
78:10	33:8, 11	represent	69:24	75:17,
88:24	67:17	7:15, 18	response	21 77:2
90:10	77:22	requested	11:1, 3	restart
91:19	78:1, 11	113:8	100:12,	62:21
100:19,	103:1	require	14	restate
20	repeat	32:22	101:6	39:20
101:9	19:18	56: <i>2</i> , <i>16</i>	102:7,	69:1, 11
111:5, 9	25 <i>:6</i>	82: <i>22</i>	10	87:23
115:10	37:17	required	103:16	restructur
relates	42:7, 12	30:12	Responses	ing
25:4	61:13	38:16,	4:6	32:20
relating	108:3	22 39:7	97:25	43:6, 11,
33:4, 7	repeating	56:11	98:6, 17,	22 44:6
41:22	10:23	61:21	25 100:8	60:24
57: <i>16</i>	report	76: <i>20</i>	responsibi	61:1, 5
relationsh	107:8	requires	lities	65: <i>20</i>
ip 17:19	reported	82:13	44:21	71:24
relationsh	106:4	res	45:3	74:10
ips	REPORTER	89:13	63:17	76:7, 21
83:11	1:22	102:12	75:14	result
relevant	6:9	reserved	responsibi	89:1, 8
36:21	7:2, 20	5: <i>7</i>	lity	

retention	right	10 29:6,	9:1	70:24
90:13	10:1	12, 18	56: <i>20</i>	71:5, 7
	13:14	32:19	ruling	SCHOENECK
retentions	17:22	38:8	85: <i>15</i> ,	2:3
92: <i>2, 8</i>	18:21	43:5	17	7:23
	20:7, 20	57: <i>4</i> , 17,	104:20,	8:16
retrieving	23:12	23	21	11:16
27:6	27:24	58: <i>23</i>	109:21	13:17
28:22	28: <i>20</i>	59: <i>9</i>	rulings	Scholl
review	29:15	60:23	31:24	32:2, 10
12:16,	34:14	61:17	104:22	52: <i>25</i>
19 16:8,	44:13	64:20	110:2	54:11,
13	51: <i>9</i>	65: <i>2</i> , 3	run	18, 20
18:13	56: <i>23</i>	66:18,	94:13	74:20,
19:1	60:19	22 67:8,	95: <i>8</i>	23 75:2,
27:21	61:7	16	runs	10, 13
61:3	70: <i>7</i>	74:24	75: <i>22</i>	76:4, 6,
83:10,	71:22	76:6, 8,		12, 17
15, 16	72:16	11	< S >	81:15,
98:5	74:17	82:24	safeguardi	19 84:4
99:10	79:1	83:16	ng 35:17	90:22
100:12	80:1	roles	Santa	92:7
111:14	89:17	44:21	2:13	93:23
reviewed	97:24	76:15	Sarah	Scholl's
16:10	110:5	77:7	2:24	75:14
18:4, 7,	111:12	80: <i>20</i>	8:22	school
10, 12,	rights	RON	114:16	17:15
15 20:4,	5:13	114:7, 17	satisfacto	schools
7 21:7	risk	room	ry 103:1	20:13, 14
83:24,	85:2, 3,	6:20, 22	saying	Schroeter
25 111:4	14 86:2,	13:19,	41:13	2:24
reviewing	9, 14, 22	21 47:16	49:13	8:22
34:20	87: <i>5</i> , <i>9</i>	rough	61:14	114:16
37:4	101:14,	112:3	says	scope
48:8, 20,	19, 25	roughly	24:20	42:4
25	102:24	94:2, 7	32:2	68:23
53:20	106:12	routine	scenarios	69:9
73:23	risks	94:13	59: <i>22</i>	72:11
Rich	100:22	Rule 6:6	scenes	92:13
31:18	102:12	Rules	76:15	93:20
80:16, 21	103:20	5:11, 13,	SCHARF	96:7
ridiculous	role	15 6:6	2:17	screen
ly 65:25	15:1, 4,		8:8	13:22

28:24	78: <i>8</i>	settle	21	80:12
80:2	82:21, 25	105:1	33:19	81:8
scrolling	self		36:4, 6,	82:5, 16
97:17	22:2	settlement	24	83:2, 19
second	96:1, 5	27:13,	37:24	84:17,
11:7	110:24	23	38:10	20, 23
44:14	self-	28:15,	39:19	85:20
60:9	explanator	18, 21	40:18	86:16,
secret	y 94:2	41:2	41:5	24
35: <i>4</i>	self-	42:1, 11	42:12,	87:11,
secretaria	insurance	51: <i>14</i>	14, 24	20 88:9,
1 26:2	75: <i>22</i>	65 <i>:9</i>	44:7, 22	20 89:2,
77:7	81:21	66:12	45:5, 14,	19 90:2
secretarie	97:1	110:2, 4	23	91:14,
s 25:13,	106:9	settlement	46:13	21
15	self-	-wise	47:15	92:16,
secretary	insured	67: <i>22</i>	48:9, 16	19 93:1,
78:7	82:8	share	49:1, 23	17
secretive	90:13,	20:21	50:14	94:19
35: <i>4</i>	17 92:2,	shared	51:15,	95:16,
sections	8 111:1	90:14	23	24
5: <i>15</i>	senior	SHEEHAN	52:16	96:14
see	43:20	2:6	55: <i>9</i> , <i>20</i>	97:14
13:21	sense	7:20, 22,	56:4	98:19
23:5, 11,	78:19	23 8:13	57: <i>20</i>	99:3, 15,
16, 20,		9:12, 13	58: <i>15</i>	24
23 53:3,	97:11	13:20	59:2, 12	100:25
6 74:13,	sentences	19:2, 25	62:4	101:20
14 80:3	89:24	21:15,	63:1, 20	102:2,
84:12	separate	19 22:7,		18
96:24	104:21	12, 14,	22 66:8	103:5
97:16	108:21	16, 22	67:12	104:5
110:8	session		68:4	105:9,
seeing	33:22	12, 17,	69:6	16
29:1	sessions	18, 21,	70:25	106:20,
seek	40:2	23	71:10	22
105:5	43:9	25:22	72:6, 24	107:13,
seeking	set	27:10,	73:2	21
44:25	81:4	18 28:5,	,	108:1, 5,
seen	91:3	8 29:2,		15, 24
12:12	97:25	7 30:4,	78:19	109:23
55:13	98:6	23	79:5, 8,	110:10
	115:5	32:17,	11	111:18

				1
112:17,	sit	sounds	specified	spends
20 113:3	73:14	36:19	116:9	58:20
shortage	slight	110:14	specify	spent
25: <i>25</i>	21:9	sources	46:5, 10	34:8
show	slip	35: <i>25</i>	49:21	40:4
104:25	52:13,	South	51:21	57:8
sic	20 53:2,	14:17	speculate	62:20
92:23	5, 8	speak	76:22	66:25
sign	94:12	16:20	speculatin	split
111:14	95: <i>6</i> , 8	24:24	g 63:22	79:24
113:8	96: <i>6</i>	41:8	85:24	spoken
signature	slips	71:8	89:4	38:7
12:19	52:11	82:2	speculatio	57:3
98:11	social	speaking	n 22:23	61:11,
117:1	15: <i>13</i>	19:7	42:25	16
signed	solely	41:8	49:3	66:17
21:10	72:3	71:4	73:21	74:23
111:16	solicit	specific	76:24	staff
significan	44:25	19:8, 12	83:3	24:18
t 27:8	solicits	25:11	95: <i>19</i>	25:10
30:15	41:15	34:9	speech-to-	26:1
31:10	42:5	43:20	text	34:2, 5
71:25	soon	56: <i>20</i>	108:12	35:2, 12
signing	111:21	58: <i>19</i>	spell	43:20
99:11, 14	112:5	59:13	9:21	77:8, 12,
sign-off	sorry	60:3	spend	15, 17,
56: <i>2</i>	17:5	65:3	30:2, 12	21 78:4
similar	41:10	74:19	31:10,	staffing
101:10,	46:8	89:23	21	24:1, 3,
13	48:11,	109:19	33:11,	12, 21
similarly	22	specifical	16 34:1,	25:3
102:10	49:18	ly	14, 19	stage
simpler	55: <i>20</i>	33:16	38:17,	80:24
36:1 <i>9</i>	61:13	46:15	22 39:1	106:14
simply	74:1	56:21	43:14,	
71:18	83: <i>25</i>	57 : 6	24	standpoint
single	89:19	62:7, 8	57:12	31:18
36:18	91:16	63:3	61:22	STANG
40:13	92:16	66:2	62:1, 9	2:12, 23
Sister	101:10	77:20	67: <i>4</i>	7:17
29:19,	106:21	91:3	73:19	8:4, 9,
24 30:1	108:3	97: <i>4</i> , 5	75:3, 11	10
		99:1	78:1	

START	92:1	15:23	37:7	support
1:19	108:9	16:2	41:4, 10,	77:7, 12,
106:13		72:11	14, 20,	15, 17,
started	statements	90:12	25 42:3	21 78:4
16:16	93:22	Suchan	44:24	91:5
starting	STATES	31:6, 7,	46:6, 15	100:22
7:14	1:1	8, 18	47:14	101:18,
17:2	7:11	61:7, 8,	49:8	24
97:3	24:6	11, 16,	50:17,	102:12,
state	stating	21, 25	21, 22,	15
7:15, 21	70:17	64:9, 20	24 51:2	103:3,
9:2, 21	stay	65:10,	68:22	11, 20,
11:13	38: <i>8</i>	<i>17</i> 66: <i>6</i>	70:2, 13,	23
17:22	Steve	67:20	15, 17,	104:3, 9
35:19	21:14	68:17	22, 25	Sure
36:12	stipulate	69:1 <i>6</i> ,	71:3, 9,	11:15
44:17	9:9	19 70:9	15, 20	24:24
64:17		73:14	78:24	29:10
71:18	STIPULATED	80:16, 21	90:4	31:22
85:6, 15	5:3, 6,	Suchan's	110:11,	34:13
86:3, 6,	9, 12	62:23	14	36:8
11	6:3	sues		37:16
87: <i>25</i>	stipulatio	52:11	Sullivan's	40:20
89: <i>8</i>	n 37:11		8:14	43:8
90:7	STIPULATIO	sufficient	sum	47:17
95:11	NS 5:1	91:10	108:20	52: <i>22</i>
101:15	6:1		summarize	54:1, 12
102:20,	strategic	suggesting	16:15	55:2
23	39:12,	112:18	summary	56:14
104:1	16 40:9	suit	21:8	58:7
107:18	42:22	31:15	51:10	61:10
114:3, 7,	49:6, 12,	50:1	55:17	64:24
17	14, 18, 21	Suite	summer	66:23
stated	stretched	7:6	20:15	76:8
8:14	44:13	SULLIVAN	Summit	78:8, 12
17:15	65:25	2:7	114:4	81:17
32:8	74:16	7:25	supplement	84:19
83:23	stuck	8:15	ary 98:7	87:25
statement	46:23	13:20	supplied	89:22
24:6	stuff	21:14	21:25	92:7
49:11	97:7	24:19	22:2	101:3
90:15	subject	25:1	supplies	110:10
91:7	13:25	28:7	33:3	

112:10	59:19	testify	Thanksgivi	7:4
113:3	70:20	14:8	ng	11:8, 11
swear	71:12	57: <i>22</i>	112:25	12:18
9:15	96:17	58:11	thereof	20:18
10:2	task	84:4, 9	115:11	29:24
swore	36:1	94:24	thereto	30:1, 7,
114:9	tasks	98:16	5:16	15
sworn	33:7	116:4	thin	31:11,
10:8	35:1, 19		44:13	22
14:1, 5	36:15	testifying	65: <i>25</i>	33:10,
116:4,	43:6	12:6	74:17	16, 21
15 117:1	44:5	13:11	thing	34:1
Syracuse	65:18,	58:1 <i>2</i>	63:16	37:2
2:5	20 66:5	70:16	65: <i>9</i>	38:2, 5,
	74:7, 9	114:9	96:3	16, 21,
< T >	76:18,	testimony	105:3	25 40:1,
take	20	9:7	109:21	5 43:13,
7 : 7	77:18	10:2	things	23
40:1	78:1	12:5, 17	34:17	47:21,
47:15	technicall	22:21	46:1, 5,	24 57:1,
53:16	y 35:13	44:18	10 77:24	7, 11
78:4, 7,	tell	87:17	think	58:18
17	12:9	88:1, 16	10:22	61:9, 21,
89:19	56:10	116:5, 9	46:17	25 62:8,
90:4	58 : <i>5</i>	text	54:21	10
92:19	59:22	6:19	57:22	66:15,
110:6	69:15	Thank	71:2, 14,	24 67:4,
taken	114:10	8:1, 12,	15	24 68:1
7:9	tend	18, 24	76:23	72:1
8:25	54:22	9:14, 25	80:18	74:13,
58:3, 6	term	10:10,	81:15,	21 75:2,
80:20	91:2	11, 13	19, 23	10
115:4	104:18	11:17,	112:17,	78:20
takes	terms	19 21:3	20	79:9, 12,
36:21	22:20	23:18,	thinking	15
113:2	50:22	24 42:6	30:7	83:13
talk	testified	48:1	thought	90:4, 20
13:5	10:9	79:11,	61:14	94:15
talked	15:20	18	62:9	105:18,
96:21	46:17	84:23	70:25	20
talking	testifies	98:3	TIME	110:13,
39:25	88:5	113:3	1:19, 20	15, 18
58: <i>18</i>			5:8	111:14
	1	<u> </u>		

113:5	112:3, 6,	12:9	type	91:9
115: <i>5</i>	12, 15, 22	98:13	25:13	109:17
116:9	top	114:10	63:16	understand
times	74:15	116:4	65: <i>9</i>	ing
10:20	town		72:9	28:1, 15
11:23	42:18	truthfully	75:18	60:18
27:5	43:10	14:9	94:14	80:6
34:6	track	try	96:2	81:6
35:22	63:23	111:21	105:3	85:3, 13
53:3	64:8	112:5	types	86:1, 7,
	tracking	trying	106:5	8 91:6
timesheets	34:14	65:7	typical	
38:4	63:7, 11,	108:5	94:12	Understood
56: <i>25</i>	15, 17	turkey	typically	98:22
61:8	66:5	79:24	82: <i>25</i>	113:3
66:15	tracks	turn	83:10	undertake
74:21	64:9, 17	97:24	typing	36:15
today		Turner	77:23	44:5
14:9	transcript	29:9, 10,		65:18
16:9	12:12,	12, 14	< U >	74:7
111:17	13, 15,	turning	Uh-huh	76:18
112:11,	18, 21	17:22	24:9	undo
14	13:5	20:17	37:4	97:17, 22
Today's	111:14,	23:3	59:21	uniform
7:3	19, 20	26:4	67:3	109:7
told	112:21	31:4	73:18	UNITED
38: <i>25</i>	113:2	32:1	unavailabl	1:1
39:6	115:8	61:7	e 37:10	7:11
57:11	116:8	80:1	undergone	
61:25	transferre	92:14	24:2	University
67:3	d 86:11	98:10	underline	14:16, 17
75:2, 10	trial	100:11	97:11	Unsecured
90:16,	5:8	102:5		2:19
18, 19,	81:4, 7	103:14	understand	7:18
22 92:4,	True	twice	12:1, 4,	unsigned
5 93:23	24:9	33:23	9, 19, 23	111:15
96:13	115:7	34:4	13:1, 6,	use
97:7	116:8	two	8, 24	47:12
Tom 9:23	117:1	33:23	14:3	91:19
Tomorrow	trustee	43:16	26:24	106:10
111:23,	47:9	71:1	80:24	110:23
25	truth	110:2	81:3	111:15
	10:3, 4		90:8	

Usually	vicars	93:10	49:16,	79:13,
27:5	47:7	112:25	19, 25	16 82:8
utilized	Vice	way	50:3	96:1
5:10	26:16,	35: <i>4</i>	58:17	104:24
	21 35:14	42:3	60: <i>6</i>	105:2
< V >	Victim's	61:24	66:10	110:16,
vague	17:25	67:2	68:23	19 113:6
43:8	video	72:10	71:3	WESTERN
value	6:14	85: <i>18</i>	75: <i>17</i>	1:2
87:1 <i>8</i>	13:22	103: <i>25</i>	82:1	7:11
88:2	videoconfe	104:25	84:16	we've
various	rence	105:1	85: <i>15</i>	76: <i>25</i>
35: <i>25</i>	6:8	113:1	88 : 5	wind
85: <i>10</i>	114:9	115:11	90:16	50: <i>2</i>
veering	view	website	92:13	86:5
71:16	108:22	15:14	94:12	110:1
vendors	viewing	Wednesday	95: <i>2, 8</i>	wise
84:1	43:24	1:18	96:21	64:1
verdict	violate	112:18	100:19	WITNESS
108:20	52:3	117:1	101:8,	1:17
verifying	voluntary	week	15	6:11, 13,
98:13	15: <i>15</i>	33:14	104:25	16, 21,
version	volunteer	34:4, 5,	107:4	23, 24
111:15,	17:21	6, 10, 11,	went	9:16
16		14, 18,	21:1	10:8
versus	volunteers	19, 23,	31:15	13:12
54:20	15: <i>12</i>	24	63:18, 24	15: <i>25</i>
viability		58:21	we're	19:7
50: <i>8</i>	< W >	65: <i>25</i>	11:8, 12	20:2
88: <i>2</i>	waived	77:25	22:7	21:24
vicar	5: <i>5</i> , 14	78: <i>2</i>	24:24	22:11,
26:15,	waiver	111:16	27:24	13, 15
<i>21</i> 35: <i>6</i>	44:25	weekly	28:20	23:2, 7,
54:11	Walter	43:18	43:21	10, 20,
57: <i>23</i>	21:13	61:2	44:2	22
60: <i>6</i>	want	well	47: <i>25</i>	25: <i>24</i>
80:15, 19	23:16	27:13	59:19	27:9, 11,
vicarious	34:13	28:2	60:15	20
86: <i>2</i>	47:15	34:16	64:1	28:12
87: <i>5</i>	69:22	35: <i>12</i>	66:3	29:9
88:17	78: <i>16</i> ,	36: <i>16</i>	70:14,	30:6
vicariousl	17	39:14	18, 20	31:2
y 86:5		40:11	71:12	33:1, 21

36:8	85:23	15 <i>:6</i>	wrote	zebra
37:1	86:19	17:11	104:19	9:24
38:1, 14	87:2, 14,	21:11		ZIEHL
39:23	22	26:2, 6,	< Y >	2:12
40:20	88:12,	19 28:3	Yeah	7:17
42:13,	22 89:4,	53:22	17:7	zoom
16 43:1	20 90:5	54:6	18:22	23:15,
44:9, 23	91:16,	66:3	22:11	16 34:17
45:7, 16,	24	73:19	23:22	
25 46:8,	92:21	111:17	36:8	
17, 22	93:3, 19	112:22	44:23	
48:11,	94:21	workable	46:15	
18 49:3,	95:18	112:18	59:18	
25	96:1, 16	worked	64:24	
50: <i>16</i>	97:13	16:22	84:7, 14,	
51:17	99:8, 20	17:8, 9	17	
52:2, 18	100:4	18:9	87:22	
55: <i>13</i> ,	101:2,		99:8	
22 56:8	22	17:24	107:24	
57: <i>21</i>	102:4, 8,	18:2	110:12	
58:17	20	31:16	112:20	
59: <i>6</i>	103:7	34:24	year	
62: <i>6</i>	104:7	65:24	17:13	
63:3, 22	105:11,	works	27:7, 17	
64:14,	18	32:10	28:3, 4,	
24	106:21,	54:16	17, 19	
66:10	23	79:7	83:8	
67:14	107:15,	83:7	97:3, 8	
68:7	23	90:17	years	
70:4, 23	108:17	97:1	15:6	
71:1, 16,	109:1,	worse	16:24	
18	25	94:5	17:1, 7,	
72:13	112:16	would've	8	
75:8	witnesses	25: <i>20</i>	YORK	
76:3	80:25	wrap	1:2	
77:6	81:7	79:24	2:5	
78:23	word	write-up	7:6, 7,	
80:14	86:12	16:14	10, 12	
81:10	110:23	written	13:18	
82:7, 19	words	6:10	15:9	
83:5, 23	25:3	12:12		
84:18,	work	77:14	< Z >	
22, 24	13:15	108:12		
== , 			T	T .